THE ALGEBRAIC RATIONAL BLOW-DOWN

RAREŞ RĂSDEACONU AND IOANA ŞUVAINA

ABSTRACT. The normal connected sum construction of Gompf and the rational blowingdown technique of Fintushel - Stern are important tools in constructing symplectic 4manifolds. In some cases, the 4-manifolds created this way are of Kähler type. In this article we investigate the occurrence of this phenomenon and give relevant examples.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Two constructions of symplectic 4-manifolds	2
1.1. The normal connected sum	2
1.2. The rational blow-down	3
2. An algebraic description of the rational blow-down	3
3. A family of examples	5
4. The algebraic normal connected sum	7
4.1. Deformation theory of normal crossing varieties	7
4.2. Gompf's examples	7
References	9

Introduction

A symplectic manifold is a smooth compact manifold endowed with a non-degenerate closed 2-form. For a long time, the only known rich source of examples was given by the class of Kähler manifolds. In fact, there were known only a few scattered examples of non-Kähler symplectic manifolds. The major breakthrough in constructing non-Kähler symplectic manifolds was realized by Gompf [Go95], who introduced the normal connected sum, the gluing of two symplectic manifolds along diffeomorphic submanifolds satisfying a suitable compatibility condition. Another source of symplectic manifolds was later introduced by Fintushel and Stern [FS97]. It is a surgery procedure, called the rational blow-down. It is specific to the 4-dimensional realm and amounts to removing a neighborhood of a linear chain of embedded spheres and replacing it with a rational ball. The fact that it can be performed within the class of symplectic manifolds is a result of M. Symington [Sy98], [Sy01].

It is a difficult problem to decide the existence or the non-existence of complex structures on symplectic 4-manifolds constructed via these two techniques. The question we

Date: April 19, 2009.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 70G55; Secondary 32J15.

Key words and phrases. rational blowing down, algebraic normal connected sum, symplectic 4-manifolds.

would like to address here is the following: if the initial manifold admits an integrable complex structure, when does the resulting manifold admit a integrable complex structure? We provide a sufficient condition:

Theorem A. Let G be a finite group acting with only isolated fixed points on a smooth, compact, complex surface S with $H^2(S,\Theta_S)=0$. If the singularities of S/G are of class T, then the full rational blowing down \widetilde{S} of the minimal resolution of S/G admits complex structures. Moreover, as a smooth 4-manifold, \widetilde{S} is oriented diffeomorphic to the generic fiber of a 1-parameter \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein smoothing of S/G.

To explain our result, we should recall that the singularities of type T are either rational double points or quotient singularities of a particular type. The exceptional divisor of the minimal resolution of this last type of quotient singularities is a linear chain of rational curves on which the rational blowing down can be performed. What we mean by the full rational blowing down is rationally blowing down all of the exceptional divisors which appear resolving the singularities which are not ordinary double points.

As an application we study a series of examples obtained by letting \mathbb{Z}_4 , the multiplicative group of roots of order 4 of unity, act on a product of two appropriated Riemann surfaces. As a particular case, we find a complex structure on an example constructed by Gompf, on which the existence of complex structures was still an open problem.

To briefly recall Gompf's example, we start with a simply connected, relatively minimal, elliptic surface, with no multiple fibers, and with Euler characteristic $c_2=48$. Up to diffeomorphisms [FM94], there is only one such elliptic surface, which we call E(4). It is known that E(4) admits at most nine rational (-4)-curves as disjoint sections. We can form the normal connected sum of it with n copies of \mathbb{CP}_2 , the complex projective plane, identifying a conic in each \mathbb{CP}_2 with one (-4)-curve of E(4). This operation is the same as rationally blowing-down n (-4)-curves, and we obtain Gompf's examples [Go95, page 564] denoted by $W_{4,n}$, where $n=1,\ldots,9$. The manifold $W_{4,1}$ is does not admit any complex structure, as it violates the Noether Inequality, but the existence of complex structures is topologically unobstructed in the other cases. In Gompf's paper, he shows that the 4-manifolds $W_{4,n}$, for n=2,3,4, and 9 are diffeomorphic to complex surfaces. We find a complex structure on $W_{4,8}$.

In this article we discuss the above examples from the point of view of deformation theory. We prove:

Theorem B. The 4-manifolds $W_{4,n}$, n = 2, 3, 4, 8, 9 admit a complex structure.

The emphasis is on the methods we employ. One technique comes from Manetti's interpretation of the rational blowing down in algebraic setting as the 1-parameter \mathbb{Q} —Gorenstein smoothing of a certain class of normal surface singularities. The second method is a natural approach towards the normal connected sum construction and it consists in viewing it as the smoothing of a simple normal crossing algebraic variety.

1. Two constructions of symplectic 4-manifolds

In this section we briefly recall two important construction procedures of symplectic 4—manifolds. One is the normal connected sum procedure of Gompf [Go95] and the other is Fintushel and Stern's [FS97] rational blow-down. In the end we describe the 4—manifolds $W_{4,n}$.

1.1. The normal connected sum. Let (M_i, ω_i) , i=1,2 be two symplectic 4-manifolds. Suppose there exist $\Sigma_i \subset M_i$, i=1,2 two closed, smooth 2-dimensional symplectic submanifolds of the same genus, and satisfying the compatibility condition:

$$N_{\Sigma_1|M_1} = N_{\Sigma_2|M_2}^{\vee}.$$

Let $N_1(\Sigma_i),\ N_2(\Sigma_i)$ be two tubular neighborhoods of Σ_i , such that $\overline{N_1(\Sigma_i)} \subset N_2(\Sigma_i)$. We denote by W_i the tubular shell neighborhoods $N_2(\Sigma_i) - \overline{N_1(\Sigma_i)}$ of Σ_i in M_i . Suppose we have an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\Phi: W_1 \to W_2$ taking the inside boundary of W_1 to the outside boundary of W_2 . We define the *normal connected sum* of M_1 and M_2 along Σ_1 and Σ_2 via Φ to be the smooth oriented manifold obtained by gluing $M_1 - \overline{N_1(\Sigma_1)}$ and $M_2 - \overline{N_1(\Sigma_2)}$ along the tubular shell neighborhoods W_1 and W_2 using Φ . Let $M = M_1 \#_\Phi M_2$ be the resulting 4-manifold.

Theorem 1.1 (Gompf). Possibly after rescaling ω_1 or ω_2 , there exists a symplectomorphism Φ of the tubular shell neighborhoods of Σ_1 and Σ_2 such that the 4-manifold $M=M_1\#_{\Phi}M_2$ admits a symplectic form which agrees with the rescaled symplectic forms on $M_i-\overline{N_1(\Sigma_i)}$.

1.2. The rational blow-down. Let $C_{p,q}$ be a open smooth 4-manifold obtained by plumbing disk bundles over the configuration of 2-sphere prescribed by the linear graph:

$$b_k \qquad b_{k-1} \qquad b_1$$

Here p>q>0 are two relatively prime integers and $\frac{p^2}{pq-1}=[b_k,b_{k-1},\ldots,b_1]$ is the unique continued fraction with all $b_i\geq 2$. Each vertex represents a disk bundle over the 2-sphere of self-intersection $-b_i$. Then $C_{p,q}$ is a negative definite simply connected 4-manifold whose boundary is a lens space $L(p^2,1-pq)$. The lens space $L(p^2,1-pq)$ bounds a rational ball $B_{p,q}$ with $\pi_1(B_{p,q})\cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Suppose X is a smooth 4-manifold containing a configuration $C_{p,q}$. Then we may construct a new smooth 4-manifold $X_{p,q}$, called the (generalized) rational blow-down of X. by replacing $C_{p,q}$ with the rational ball $B_{p,q}$. $X_{p,q}$ is uniquely determined (up to diffeomorphism) from X, as each diffeomorphism of $\partial B_{p,q}$ extends over the rational ball $B_{p,q}$. It is proved in [Sy01] that if $C_{p,q}$ is symplectically embedded in X, then the rational blow-down carries a symplectic structure.

2. AN ALGEBRAIC DESCRIPTION OF THE RATIONAL BLOW-DOWN

The point of view we adopt in this paper is that the generalized rational blowing down procedure and the smoothing of isolated complex surface singularities are essentially the same in the algebraic setting. While in this article we merely give some immediate applications of this idea, we will explore it in more depth in a forthcoming article. Here we consider only the case of some quotient singularities, a case well documented in the literature.

Following Manneti's presentation [Ma01] of the results of [KS-B88], we begin by recalling the terminology and some general results.

Definition 2.1. A normal variety X is \mathbb{Q} —Gorenstein if it is Cohen-Macaulay and a multiple of the canonical divisor is Cartier.

Definition 2.2. A flat map $\pi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a one-parameter \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein smoothing of a normal singularity (X,x) if $\pi^{-1}(0) = X$ and there exists $U \subset \mathbb{C}$ an open neighborhood of 0 such that the following conditions are satisfied.

- i) \mathcal{X} is \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein
- ii) The induced map $X \to U$ is surjective
- iii) $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$ is smooth for every $t \in U \{0\}$.

Definition 2.3. A normal surface singularity is of class T if it is a quotient singularity and admits a \mathbb{Q} —Gorenstein one-parameter smoothing.

The following result of Kollár and Shepherd-Barron [KS-B88] gives a complete description of the singularities of class \mathcal{T} .

Proposition 2.4 (Kollár, Shepherd-Barron). The singularities of class T are the following:

- 1) Rational double points;
- 2) Cyclic singularities of type $\frac{1}{dn^2}(1, dna 1)$, for d > 0, $n \ge 2$ and (a, n) = 1.

Let a,d,n>0 be integers with (a,n)=1, and $\mathcal{Y}\subset\mathbb{C}^3\times\mathbb{C}^d$ be the hypersurface of equation

$$uv - y^{dn} = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} t_k y^{kn},$$

where t_0, \ldots, t_{d-1} are linear coordinates on \mathbb{C}^d . \mathbb{Z}_n acts on \mathcal{Y} , the action being generated by:

$$(u, v, y, t_0, \dots, t_{d-1}) \mapsto (\zeta u, \zeta^{-1} v, \zeta^a y, t_0, \dots, t_{d-1})$$

Let $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{Y}/\mathbb{Z}_n$ and $\phi:\mathcal{X}\to\mathbb{C}^d$ the quotient of the projection $\mathcal{Y}\to\mathbb{C}^d$.

Proposition 2.5. $\phi: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{C}^d$ is a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation of the cyclic singularity (X,x) of type $\frac{1}{dn^2}(1,dna-1)$.

Moreover, every \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformation $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{C}$ of a singularity (X,x) of type $\frac{1}{dn^2}(1,dna-1)$ is isomorphic to the pullback of ϕ for some germ of holomorphic map $(\mathbb{C},0)\to (\mathbb{C}^d,0)$.

The following proposition due to Manetti [Ma01] gives an algebraic interpretation of the algebraic rational blow-down.

Proposition 2.6. Let X be a compact complex surface with singularities of class T, \widetilde{X} be its minimal resolution and $\pi: \mathcal{X} \to U$ a \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein smoothing of X. Then the full rational blow-down of \widetilde{X} is oriented diffeomorphic to $X_t = \pi^{-1}(t)$, for any $t \neq 0$.

Manetti's algebraic description of the rational-blowing-down procedure gets more substance by providing a global criterion for smoothings of singularities.

Let X be a compact, reduced analytic space with $\operatorname{Sing}(X) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. By restriction, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, any deformation of X defines a deformation of the singularity (X, x_i) with the same base space. Thus, if $\operatorname{Def} X$ and $\operatorname{Def}(X, x_i)$ denote the base space of the versal deformation of X and (X, x_i) , respectively, there exists a natural morphism:

$$\Phi: \operatorname{Def} X \to \prod_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Def}(X, x_i)$$

If all of the singularities (X, x_i) are of class T, for each i we can choose in $Def(X, x_i)$ the (smooth) component corresponding the \mathbb{Q} -Gorenstein deformations. What we are interested in here is when these deformations of singularities lift to a deformation of the total space. The answer is given by a general criterion of Wahl:

Proposition 2.7. Let Θ_X be the tangent sheaf of X. If $H^2(X, \Theta_X) = 0$, then the morphism Φ is smooth. In particular, every deformation of the singularities (X, x_i) , i = i, ..., n may be globalized.

For the proof of this proposition we refer the interested reader to either [Wa81, page 242] or to [Ma91, page 93].

This criterion becomes especially useful in the following situation.

Suppose we start with a smooth compact complex surface X. Let G be a finite group acting on X with isolated fixed points. Let Y = X/G be the quotient, $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\} = \operatorname{Sing}(Y)$ the singular locus of Y, and $f: X \to Y$ the quotient map. We denote by Θ_X the holomorphic tangent bundle of X, and by $\Theta_Y = (\Omega_Y^1)^{\vee}$, the tangent sheaf of Y.

Lemma 2.8. In the above notations,
$$H^2(X, \Theta_X) = 0 \Longrightarrow H^2(Y, \Theta_Y) = 0$$
.

Proof. To prove the vanishing of $H^2(Y, \Theta_Y) = 0$, we need to have a convenient description of the tangent sheaf Θ_Y of Y. In our case, this is provided by Schlessinger [Sc71]:

$$\Theta_Y = (f_*\Theta_X)^G$$
.

Now, by averaging, we get a map $f_*\Theta_X\to\Theta_Y=(f_*\Theta_X)^G$. But this means Θ_Y is a direct summand of $f_*\Theta_X$. To finish the proof, since f is a finite map, the Leray spectral sequence provides an isomorphism:

$$H^2(X, \Theta_X) \simeq H^2(Y, f_*\Theta_X),$$

and the conclusion of the lemma follows.

Proof of Theorem A. Assume now G acts on a smooth complex surface S with fixed points only. If the singularities of S/G are of class T only, we can look at the components of each versal deformation space of any such singular point, and pick the one corresponding to \mathbb{Q} —Gorenstein deformations. Theorem A follows immediately from the algebraic description of the rational blowing down, the globalization criterion 2.7 and Lemma 2.8. \square

Remark 2.9. We should point out that the smoothings of rational double points are diffeomorphic to their minimal resolution. Thus, for the singularities of class T the full rational blowing down, essentially performed only on the minimal resolution of the quotient singularities which are not rational double points, coincides with the simultaneous smoothing of all the singular points.

3. A FAMILY OF EXAMPLES

In this section, by adopting the above viewpoint, we will exhibit a complex structure on Gompf's example $W_{4,8}$.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$ be the smooth curve of genus 3 given by the equation

$$F(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = z_0^4(w_0^2 + w_1^2) + z_1^4(w_0^2 - w_1^2) = 0,$$

where $(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) = ([z_0 : z_1], [w_0 : w_1])$ are the standard bi-homogeneous coordinates on $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$.

An easy calculation shows that the action of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_4 on $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$ generated by

$$([z_0:z_1],[w_0:w_1]) \mapsto ([iz_0:z_1],[w_0:w_1])$$

has four fixed points of C: two points $P_1=([0:1],[1:1])$ and $P_2=([0:1],[1:-1])$, where in local coordinates \mathbb{Z}_4 acts by multiplication with i, and two $Q_1=([1:0],[1:i])$ and $Q_2=([1:0],[1:-i])$, where in local coordinates our group acts by multiplication with -i.

We will be interested in the manifold obtained by taking the quotient under the diagonal action of \mathbb{Z}_4 . Let $X=(C\times C)/\mathbb{Z}_4$. This action has 16 fixed points. At eight of them, (P_i,P_j) , and $(Q_i,Q_j),\ i=1,2$ the group \mathbb{Z}_4 acts (in local coordinates) as

$$(z_1,z_2)\mapsto (iz_1,iz_2),$$

while at the other eight (P_i, Q_j) and (Q_i, P_j) , i = 1, 2 it acts as

$$(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (iz_1, -iz_2).$$

Thus, the singular complex 2-dimensional variety X will have 8 singular points of type A_3 and 8 quotient singularities of type $\frac{1}{4}(1,1)$. The minimal resolution of the last type of singularities consists in replacing each such singular point by a smooth rational curve of self-intersection (-4). Let \widehat{X} be the minimal resolution of X.

Proposition 3.1. \widehat{X} is a simply connected, minimal, elliptic complex surface with no multiple fibers and with the Euler characteristic $c_2 = 48$.

Proof. The quotient C/\mathbb{Z}_4 is a rational curve, and we will denote by P_1', P_2', Q_1' and Q_2' the image of P_1, P_2, Q_1 and Q_2 , respectively, under the projection map. Let:

$$\pi_1: \widehat{X} \longrightarrow C/\mathbb{Z}_4 \cong \mathbb{CP}_1$$

the factorization of the projection on the first factor. This fibration has four singular fibers above P_i' and Q_i' , i=1,2. The generic fiber is a Riemann surface of genus 3, while each of the singular fibers consist of a chain of nine spheres, one of which is the quotient C/\mathbb{Z}_4 and the other eight are exceptional spheres introduced by the resolution of singularities. It follows that our manifold is simply connected.

To see the elliptic fibration, we consider first the covering:

$$\pi_1 \times \pi_2 : \widehat{X} \longrightarrow (C \times C)/(\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4) = C/\mathbb{Z}_4 \times C/\mathbb{Z}_4 \cong \mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1.$$

As the construction is symmetric in the two factors, we will use freely the identification of C/\mathbb{Z}_4 with \mathbb{CP}_1 with four marked points $P_i', Q_i', i = 1, 2$. Let $B, D \subset \mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$ be the following divisors:

$$B = \pi_1^{-1}(P_1') \cup \pi_1^{-1}(P_2') \cup \pi_2^{-1}(Q_1') \cup \pi_2^{-1}(Q_2')$$

$$D = \pi_1^{-1}(Q_1') \cup \pi_1^{-1}(Q_2') \cup \pi_2^{-1}(P_1') \cup \pi_2^{-1}(P_2').$$

 \widehat{X} can be seen as a bi-double cover of $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$, first branched over the union of B and D, then branched over the union of the total transforms of B and D.

Remark that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1}(B) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1}(D) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1}(2,2)$ and the generic element of the associated linear system is a smooth elliptic curve. Let L be the pencil generated by B and D. The base locus of this pencil, $B \cap D = \{(P_i', P_j'), (Q_i', Q_j'), i, j = 1, 2\}$, is a subset of the set of singular points of the branch locus of the first double cover. The

linear system $(\pi_1 \times \pi_2)^*(L)$ will be base point free, with smooth elliptic curve as general members. This gives us the elliptic fibration, containing no rational curves of selfintersection (-1). The exceptional divisors introduced above $B \cap D$ are the eight sections of the elliptic fibration, all of self-intersection -4.

Next, we need to know two topological invariants, the Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ and signature $\sigma(\widehat{X})$, for example. Both computations are immediate if we look at the quotient map $C \times C \longrightarrow X$. We obtain $\chi(\widehat{X}) = 48$ and $\sigma(\widehat{X}) = -32$, which imply $c_1^2(\widehat{X}) = 0$. This also yields the minimality of \widehat{X} .

In particular, by [FM94], \hat{X} is diffeomorphic to E(4). Applying Theorem A, we can conclude now the existence of complex structures on $W_{4,8}$.

Our example can be easily generalized in the following way. Consider the smooth curves C_k , $C_l \subset \mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$ given by the equations

$$z_0^4 f_k(w_0, w_1) + z_1^4 g_k(w_0, w_1) = 0$$

and

$$z_0^4 f_l(w_0, w_1) + z_1^4 g_l(w_0, w_1) = 0,$$

respectively. Here (f_k, g_k) and (f_l, g_l) are generic pairs of homogeneous polynomials of degree k, and l, respectively. The above discussion can be now easily repeated for $C_k \times C_l$ and the induced \mathbb{Z}_4 action.

4. The algebraic normal connected sum

In this section we approach the normal connected sum procedure from the algebraic point of view. We will test our point of view on Gompf's examples $W_{4,n}$, for n=2,3,4and 9.

Mimicking the symplectic normal sum, we start with two pairs of complex varieties $(X_1,Y_1), (X_2,Y_2),$ where $X_i, i=1,2$ are smooth and $Y_i \subset X_i, i=1,2$ are smooth subvarieties satisfying the following conditions:

- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ Y_1 \simeq Y_2; \\ \bullet \ N_{Y_1|X_1} = N^{\vee}_{Y_2|X_2}. \end{array}$

Using, the condition on the normal bundles, we can glue X_1 and X_2 to form a normal crossing complex variety X. Then the symplectic normal sum can be interpreted as a smoothing of X, in the sense of Friedman [Fr83], as long as the smoothing is of Kähler type. Before we proceed, we recall some basic facts on the deformation theory of singular spaces.

4.1. **Deformation theory of normal crossing varieties.** Let X be a smooth complex manifold, and $Y \subset X$ a smooth submanifold. By Θ_X we denote the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields of X, and $\Theta_{X,Y}$ will denote the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields on Xwhich are tangent to Y.

If Z is a compact, singular, reduced complex space, the deformation theory of normal crossing varieties [Fr83] is given in terms of the global Ext groups $T_Z^i := \operatorname{Ext}^i(\Omega_Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. T_Z^1 will describe the infinitesimal deformations of the complex structure of Z, and the obstructions lie in T_Z^2 . These groups are usually computed from their "local" versions, the sheaves $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\Omega_Z,\mathcal{O}_Z)=:\tau_Z^i$, using the "local to global" spectral sequence $E_2^{p,q}=$ $H^p(\tau_Z^q) \Rightarrow T_Z^{p+q}$.

Suppose Z is a simple normal crossing variety, i.e. Z locally looks like a union of hyperplanes and whose irreducible components are smooth. In this case, the local to global spectral sequence gives:

$$0 \to H^1(\tau_Z^0) \to T_Z^1 \to H^0(\tau_Z^1) \to H^2(\tau_Z^0) \to T_Z^2 \to 0. \tag{4.1}$$

The space $H^1(\tau_Z^0)$ classifies all "locally trivial" deformations of X, i.e. for which the singularities remain locally a product. Their obstructions lie in $H^2(\tau_Z^0)$.

In the cases treated below, Z is chosen to be d-semistable, that is $T_Z^1 = \mathcal{O}_D$, where $D = \operatorname{Sing}(X)$ is the singular locus of X.

Definition 4.1. We say that a proper flat map $\pi: \mathcal{X} \to \Delta$ from a smooth (n+1)-fold \mathcal{X} to $\Delta = \{|z| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a smoothing of a reduced, not necessarily irreducible complex analytic variety X, if $\pi^{-1}(0) = X$ and $\pi^{-1}(t)$ is smooth for $t \in \Delta$ sufficiently small.

Remark 4.2. Before we proceed with our examples, we should point out that if $H^2(\tau_Z^0) = H^1(\mathcal{O}_D) = 0$, then $T_Z^2 = 0$, and so the deformation problem is unobstructed. If X is d-semistable, it will admit [Fr83] a versal (one-parameter) deformation with smooth total space, and smooth generic fiber. In this case, we say we have a one-parameter smoothing of X.

4.2. **Gompf's examples.** In what follows we are going to treat a very particular situation, and give a simple cohomolgical criterion suitable to the study of Gompf's examples.

Let S be a complex surface, containing n smooth, disjoint, rational curves of self-intersection -4, which we are going to denote by D_1,\ldots,D_n . As discussed, for each of these curves, we can glue in a copy of \mathbb{CP}_2 to form a simple normal crossing complex variety denoted by Z with n+1 irreducible components, and $\mathrm{Sing}(Z)=D_1+\cdots+D_n$. Since each copy of \mathbb{CP}_2 is glued along a conic, it follows that Z satisfies the d-semistability condition. An easy, but useful criterion is:

Proposition 4.3. Z admits a one-parameter smoothing if

$$H^2(S, \Theta_S \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-D_1 - \cdots - D_n)) = 0.$$

Proof. From Remark 4.2, it suffices to check whether $H^2(\tau_Z^0)=H^1(D,\mathcal{O}_D)=0$, where $D=D_1+\cdots+D_n$.

Since the D_i 's are smooth, disjoint, rational curves, it follows that $H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D) = 0$. The sheaf τ_Z^0 , naturally sits in the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \Theta_S \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-D) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Theta_{\mathbb{CP}_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}^2}(-C_i) \to \tau_Z^0 \to \Theta_D \to 0, \tag{4.2}$$

where by C_i we denoted the smooth conic in \mathbb{CP}_2 corresponding to D_i . But, for any smooth conic $C \subset \mathbb{CP}_2$ we have $H^2(\mathbb{CP}_2, \Theta_{\mathbb{CP}_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{CP}_2}(-C)) = 0$. A simple inspection of the cohomology sequence associated to (4.2) concludes the proof.

We take now a look from our perspective at Gompf's examples $W_{4,n}$, for n=2,3,4 or 9. In these cases, he found complex structures as appropriate multiple covers of \mathbb{CP}_2 . Guided by his complex structures, what we do here is merely to reprove this in an algebraic, more conceptual way, using the method described above. We will discuss only the $W_{4,2}$ case, the rest of the cases follow analogously, and are left to the reader.

We start with the Hirzebruch surface Σ_4 . Let $f: X \to \Sigma_4$ be the double cover of Σ_4 , branched along a smooth member of the linear system $D \in |4(C_0 + 4f)|$. Such a smooth member exists, as a consequence of the standard results on linear systems on Hirzebruch

surfaces [Ha77]. Here C_0 is the negative section and f is the class of a fiber. X is a smooth, simply connected elliptic surface, diffeomorphic to E(4). Moreover, since D and C_0 are disjoint, X contains exactly two smooth rational curves of self-intersection -4, the two irreducible components of the preimage of C_0 . We denoted these two curves by D_1 and D_2 .

We perform now the algebraic normal connected sum along these two curves gluing in two copies of \mathbb{CP}_2 , each along a smooth conic, and denote the newly formed singular variety by Z. From Proposition 4.3 we know that if $H^2(X,\Theta_X\otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D_1-D_2))=0$, there is no obstruction to the smoothing of Z. But, using the structure of X as a double covering of Σ_4 , and the Leray spectral sequence, we get:

$$H^{2}(X, \Theta_{X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X}(-D_{1} - D_{2}))$$

$$= H^{2}(X, \Theta_{X} \otimes f^{*}\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(-C_{0}))$$

$$= H^{2}(\Sigma_{4}, f_{*}\Theta_{X} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(-C_{0}))$$

$$= H^{2}(\Sigma_{4}, \Theta_{\Sigma_{4}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(-C_{0})) \oplus H^{2}(\Sigma_{4}, \Theta_{\Sigma_{4}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(-3C_{0} - 8f)).$$

To prove the vanishing of the last two cohomology groups, we use the Serre duality:

$$H^{2}(\Sigma_{4}, \Theta_{\Sigma_{4}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(-C_{0}))$$

$$= H^{0}(\Sigma_{4}, \Omega^{1}_{\Sigma_{4}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(C_{0}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(K_{\Sigma_{4}}))$$

$$= H^{0}(\Sigma_{4}, \Omega^{1}_{\Sigma_{4}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(-C_{0} - 6f)).$$

Here $K_{\Sigma_4} = -2C_0 - 6f$ denotes the canonical divisor of Σ_4 . Since the divisor $C_0 + 6f$ is effective and is linearly equivalent to a smooth curve [Ha77], we have the exact sequence of sheaves:

$$0 \to \Omega^1_{\Sigma_4} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_4}(-C_0 - 6f)) \to \Omega^1_{\Sigma_4} \to \mathcal{O}_{C_0 + 6f} \to 0.$$

Passing to the cohomology sequence and since $H^0(\Sigma_4, \Omega^1_{\Sigma_4}) = 0$, we get the vanishing of $H^2(\Sigma_4, \Theta_{\Sigma_4} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_4}(-C_0))$.

For the vanishing of the second term we proceed in the same fashion:

$$\begin{split} &H^2(\Sigma_4,\Theta_{\Sigma_4}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_4}(-3C_0-8f))\\ &=H^0(\Sigma_4,\Omega^1_{\Sigma_4}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_4}(3C_0+8f)\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_4}(K_{\Sigma_4}))\\ &=H^0(\Sigma_4,\Omega^1_{\Sigma_4}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_4}(C_0+2f)). \end{split}$$

Arguing by contradiction, if there exists a global non-zero section of $\Omega^1_{\Sigma_4} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_4}(C_0 + 2f)$, then it must exist global non-zero section of

$$\bigwedge^{2}(\Omega^{1}_{\Sigma_{4}}\otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(C_{0}+2f))\cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(K_{\Sigma_{4}})\otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(2C_{0}+4f)\cong \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_{4}}(-2f).$$

But this is impossible.

Remark 4.4. We believe that working a little bit harder, we should be able to prove that the complex we found is actually the same as the one Gompf described for $W_{4,2}$, namely as the double covering of \mathbb{CP}_2 along a smooth octic, a Horikawa surface. To give some strong indications, we can prove that the normal connected sum from our point of view of \mathbb{CP}_2 with Σ_4 glued along a conic and the negative section, respectively is isomorphic to \mathbb{CP}_2 . We have a natural a holomorphic map $F:Z\to \mathbb{CP}_2\#_C\Sigma_4$, extending the double covering of Σ_4 . What we found above are the smoothings of Z and $\mathbb{CP}_2\#_C\Sigma_4$. Using an appropriate deformation theory, we should be able to show that the map F deforms too, to yield the expected double covering $W_{4,2}\to \mathbb{CP}_2$, branched along a smooth octic.

The computations for the other examples go along the same lines, with minor modifications. For $W_{4,3}$ we end up with the complex structure of the 3:1 covering of \mathbb{CP}_2 branched along a smooth sextic curve, $W_{4,4}$ is the simple bi-double cover of \mathbb{CP}_2 branched along a transverse pair of conics, and $W_{4,9}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ cover of \mathbb{CP}_2 branched along 3 transverse conics. With the results obtained in the previous section, the proof of Theorem B is now complete.

Acknowledgments. We thank Claude LeBrun for suggesting this problem to us, and Dusa McDuff for her useful comments. The first author would also like to thank for the hospitality of IMADA, University of Southern Denmark where most of this paper was written.

REFERENCES

- [DF89] S. DONALDSON, R. FRIEDMAN, Connected sums of self-dual manifolds and deformations of singular spaces, Nonlinearity 2 (1989), no. 2, 197–239
- [FS97] R. FINTUSHEL, R. J. STERN, Rational blowdowns of smooth 4-manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 46 (1997), no. 2, 181-235
- [Fr83] R. FRIEDMAN, Global smoothings of varieties with normal crossings, Ann. of Math. (2) 118 (1983), no. 1, 75–114
- [FM94] R. FRIEDMAN, J. MORGAN, Smooth four-manifolds and complex surfaces Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3), 27. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994
- [Go95] R. E. GOMPF, A new construction of symplectic manifolds, Ann. of Math. (2) 142 (1995), no. 3, 527-595
- [GS99] R. E. GOMPF, A. STIPSICZ, 4-manifolds and Kirby calculus, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 20. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999
- [Ha77] R. HARTSHORNE, Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Text in Mathematics, 52, Springer Verlag, New-York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [KS-B88] J. KOLLÁR, N.I. SHEPHERD-BARRON, Threefolds and deformations of surface singularities, Invent. Math. 91 (1988), no. 2, 299–338
- [Ma91] M. MANETTI, Normal degenerations of the complex projective plane, J. Reine Angew. Math. 419 (1991), 89–118
- [Ma01] M. Manetti, On the moduli space of diffeomorphic algebraic surfaces, Invent. Math. 143 (2001), no. 1, 29–76
- [Sc71] M. SCHLESSINGER, Rigidity of quotient singularities, Invent. Math. 14 (1971), 17–26
- [Sy98] M. SYMINGTON, Symplectic rational blowdowns, J. Differential Geom. 50 (1998), no. 3, 505–518
- [Sy01] M. SYMINGTON, Generalized symplectic rational blowdowns, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 1 (2001), 503-518
- [Wa81] J. Wahl, Smoothings of normal surface singularities, Topology 20 (1981), no. 3, 219–246

Rareş Răsdeaconu, Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, 2074 East Hall, 530 Church Street, Ann Arbor, 48104, MI, USA

E-mail address: rares@umich.edu

Ioana Şuvaina, Department of Mathematics, SUNY at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, 11794, NY, USA

E-mail address: sio@math.sunysb.edu