

Universal coefficient theorems for Kirchberg's bivariant K-theory I

Ralf Meyer

Vanderbilt

Sixth Annual Spring Institute on
Noncommutative Geometry and Operator Algebras
May 5 to May 14, 2008

1 Non-commutative topology

1.1 The role of Kasparov theory and K-theory

Definition 1. A *non-commutative homology theory* is a functor on a category of (separable) C^* -algebras (with extra structure) that is

- C^* -stable (Morita invariant)
- split-exact
- homotopy invariant
- has Puppe exact sequence for mapping cones

Example 2. K-theory is a non-commutative homology theory for C^* -algebras. It maps separable C^* -algebras to the category $\mathfrak{Ab}_c^{\mathbb{Z}/2}$ of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded countable Abelian groups.

Example 3. KK^G is a non-commutative homology theory for C^* -algebras with a G -action.

Theorem 4 (Joachim Cuntz and Nigel Higson). *Bivariant KK-theory is the universal C^* -stable, split-exact functor on the category of separable C^* -algebras.*

That is, a functor from the category of separable C^ -algebras to some additive category factors through KK if and only if it is C^* -stable and split-exact, and this factorisation is unique if it exists.*

Equivariant versions of KK are characterised by analogous universal properties.

Corollary 5. *C^* -stability and split-exactness \implies homotopy invariance, Bott periodicity, Connes–Thom Isomorphism, . . .*

The central role of K-theory

Theorem 6. *Let X and Y be locally compact spaces with*

$$K_*(X) \cong K_*(Y).$$

Then $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $\mathcal{C}_0(Y)$ are KK-equivalent.

Thus $F(\mathcal{C}_0(X)) \cong F(\mathcal{C}_0(Y))$ if F is C^ -stable split-exact.*

Proof. This follows from the Universal Coefficient Theorem. □

Bad news

All the intricacies of the stable homotopy category disappear in the non-commutative setting.

Good news

All the intricacies of the stable homotopy category disappear in the non-commutative setting.

Equivariant Kasparov theory

- KK has become a *tool* instead of an *object* of study.
- You can prove theorems *with* KK, but not *about* KK.
- For each additional structure C^* -algebras can carry, there is an appropriate version of KK:
 - group actions of locally compact groups
 - groupoid actions of locally compact groupoids
 - coactions of locally compact quantum groups
 - $C(X)$ -algebras
 - C^* -algebra bundles over non-Hausdorff spacesThis situation generates *Kirchberg's bivariant K-theory*.
- These *equivariant* bivariant K-theories are more intricate—you may also prove theorems *about* them.

1.2 Commutative versus non-commutative topology

Why is non-commutative topology so effective?

Question

Some results in topology can, so far, be proved *only* with C^* -algebra methods.

How can that be?

Possible answer

Applications of C^* -algebras in topology usually involve spaces with an extra structure like a group action.

The interaction of the extra structure with the topology of the space becomes *much simpler* in the non-commutative setting.

A hopeless task

Exercise

Classify simplicial complexes up to (stable) homotopy equivalence! — Forget it!

Theorem 7. *K-theory is a complete invariant up to KK-equivalence for C^* -algebras in the bootstrap class.*

Two C^ -algebras in the bootstrap class are KK-equivalent if and only if they have isomorphic K-theory.*

Any pair of countable Abelian groups is the K-theory of some C^ -algebra in the bootstrap class.*

A ridiculous question

Question

If a G -map $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a (stable) homotopy equivalence, is it automatically a G -equivariant (stable) homotopy equivalence? — Forget it!

Theorem 8 (Extra strong Baum–Connes property). *Let G be a locally compact group that acts properly by affine isometries on a Hilbert space. If $\alpha \in \text{KK}^G(A, B)$ becomes invertible in KK^H for each compact subgroup $H \subseteq G$, then α is invertible in KK^G .*

Corollary 9 (Homotopic actions are equivalent). *Let G be a torsion-free group with the extra strong Baum–Connes property. Then homotopic G -actions on a separable C^* -algebra A are KK^G -equivalent.*

Example 10. Non-commutative tori of the same dimension are KK-equivalent.

A general line of inquiry

- We want to study equivariant homology theories on a category of C^* -algebras with some extra structure.
- First we need a bivariant K-theory in this setting.
- Secondly, we need an *invariant* that we use to probe this equivariant KK-category.

Goal

Compute the equivariant KK-theory and other equivariant homology theories using the chosen invariant.

1.3 The rigidity question

What can we know?

Rigidity question

If the invariant $F(A) = 0$ vanishes, does it follow that A is equivariantly KK-equivalent to 0?

Equivalently, if a morphism α induces an isomorphism on the invariant F , is it already invertible?

Definition 11. We call the invariant F *rigid* if $F(A) = 0 \iff A \cong 0$.

Example 12 (Extra strong Baum–Connes property). Let G be a locally compact group, let F be the family of restriction functors $\mathrm{KK}^G \rightarrow \mathrm{KK}^H$ for $H \subseteq G$ compact.

This invariant is rigid if and only if the group G has the extra strong Baum–Connes property.

Rigid invariants

Example 13. Let G be a *connected* Lie group with *torsion-free fundamental group*.

- Let $T \subseteq G$ be a maximal torus. The forgetful functor $\mathrm{KK}^G \rightarrow \mathrm{KK}^T$ is a rigid invariant.
- The crossed product functor (descent)

$$\mathrm{KK}^G \rightarrow \mathrm{KK}, \quad A \mapsto A \rtimes G$$

is rigid.

Example 14 (Bootstrap category). There exist separable C^* -algebras with $K_*(A) = 0$ but $\mathrm{KK}_0(A, A) \neq 0$.

Localisation at the invariant

- We want to focus on the part of our bivariant K-theory that our invariant can detect.
- There is a general process to do this: localisation of triangulated categories
- Often it works as follows.

Theorem 15. Let \mathfrak{T} be a triangulated category and let F be a stable homological functor.

- $\mathcal{N} := \{A \mid F(A) = 0\}$
- $\mathcal{N}^\perp := \{A \mid \mathfrak{T}(A, B) = 0 \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{N}\}$

If $\mathcal{N} \cup \mathcal{N}^\perp$ generates \mathfrak{T} , then \mathfrak{T}/\mathcal{N} is equivalent to \mathcal{N}^\perp .

Example 16. This works for the K-theory functor on KK , where \mathcal{N}^\perp is the *bootstrap class* or *UCT class*.

1.4 The classification question

Lemma 17. After localisation, the invariant F becomes rigid, that is, it detects zero objects and isomorphisms: α invertible $\iff F(\alpha)$ invertible

Classification question

If $F(A) \cong F(B)$, does it follow that $A \cong B$?

If yes, can you also describe the range of F ?

Definition 18. We call the invariant F *complete* if the answer to both questions is “Yes” after localisation.

Example 19. K-theory is a complete invariant on KK .

Example 20. The forgetful functor $\mathrm{KK}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathrm{KK}$ is both rigid and complete.

Necessity of a Universal Coefficient Theorem

For most equivariant situations, we do not expect a *manageable* complete invariant to exist.

(The identity invariant is always complete and rigid.)

Open question

Is there a manageable complete invariant for \mathbb{Z}^2 -actions?

Theorem 21 (No-Go Theorem). *Let $\mathcal{I} := \ker F$ be the ideal of morphisms in \mathfrak{T} annihilated by the invariant F . Assume enough \mathcal{I} -projective objects.*

$\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{I} \neq 0 \implies$ there are A, B with $F(A) \cong F(B)$ but $A \not\cong B$.

Corollary 22. *Let F be a complete, rigid invariant on \mathfrak{T} . Then there is a natural exact sequence*

$$\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2(A, B) \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{T}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}/\mathcal{I}(A, B).$$

Homological algebra in triangulated categories

Questions

When is $\mathcal{I}^2 = \mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{I} = 0$?

Are \mathfrak{T}/\mathcal{I} and $\mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$ derived functors?

- There is a general machinery for *homological algebra* in triangulated categories using an ideal such as $\ker F$.
- It yields an *Abelian approximation* \mathfrak{C} to our triangulated category \mathfrak{T} and a homological functor $F': \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}$ such that homological algebra in \mathfrak{C} lifts back to \mathfrak{T} .
- A universal coefficient exact sequence exists for A if $F'(A)$ has a *projective resolution of length 1*.
- This need not be the case and may be hard to check.

Difficult problem

Refine an incomplete invariant to make it (more) complete!

2 C^* -algebra bundles over non-Hausdorff spaces

- We will first reformulate the notion of a $C(X)$ -algebra in a way suitable for non-Hausdorff spaces.
- This leads to a definition of a C^* -algebra (bundle) over a non-Hausdorff space, which we illustrate by some examples.
- Then we introduce Kirchberg's bivariant KK-theory for such C^* -algebra bundles.

2.1 Equivalent definitions in the Hausdorff case

Theorem 23. *Let X be a Hausdorff topological space and A a C^* -algebra. The following additional structures on A are equivalent:*

- a non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism from $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ to the centre of the multiplier algebra of A
- a non-degenerate $*$ -homomorphism $\mathcal{C}_0(X, A) \rightarrow A$;
it has a class in $\text{KK}(\mathcal{C}_0(X, A), A)$
- a continuous map $\text{Prim}(A) \rightarrow X$, where $\text{Prim}(A)$ is the primitive ideal space of A
- a map from the lattice of open subsets of X to the lattice of ideals in A that commutes with arbitrary suprema and finite infima

The last two conditions make sense for non-Hausdorff spaces.

2.2 Definition and Examples

Definition 24. Let X be a topological space. A C^* -algebra over X is a C^* -algebra A together with a continuous map $\psi: \text{Prim}(A) \rightarrow X$.

Theorem 25. *The lattice $\mathbb{O}(\text{Prim } A)$ of open subsets of $\text{Prim}(A)$ is isomorphic to the lattice $\mathbb{I}(A)$ of ideals in A .*

Lemma 26. *A continuous map $\psi: \text{Prim}(A) \rightarrow X$ is equivalent to a lattice morphism $\mathbb{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{O}(\text{Prim } A) \cong \mathbb{I}(A)$ that preserves arbitrary suprema (provided X is sober).*

Finite T_0 -spaces and partial orders

- We will concentrate on *finite* topological T_0 -spaces here.
- Let $x \preceq y$ if $\overline{\{x\}} \subseteq \overline{\{y\}}$, this is a *partial order* on X .

Lemma 27. *A subset $S \subseteq X$ is closed $\iff x \prec y \in S$ implies $x \in S$.
A subset $S \subseteq X$ is open $\iff x \succ y \in S$ implies $x \in S$.*

Important observation

T_0 -topologies and partial orders on finite sets are equivalent.

Example 28. Consider $X_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ with the total order $1 \succ 2 \succ \dots \succ n$.

- The open subsets are $U_k := \{1, \dots, k\}$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$.
- A C^* -algebra over X_n is a C^* -algebra A together with an increasing *chain* of ideals

$$\{0\} = A(U_0) \triangleleft A(U_1) \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft A(U_{n-1}) \triangleleft A(U_n) = A.$$

- For $n = 2$, we get a chain $I \triangleleft A$, so that we study C^* -algebra extensions.

Example 29. Consider $Y_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ with the partial order $0 \prec 1, 2, \dots, n$ and no further relation between $1, \dots, n$.

- The open subsets of Y_n are all subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and Y_n itself.
- The lattice of open subsets is already generated by the singletons $U_j := \{j\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $U_0 := Y_n$.
- A C^* -algebra over Y_n is a C^* -algebra A together with n orthogonal ideals $A(U_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, that is, $A(U_j) \cap A(U_k) = \{0\}$.
- This is equivalent to a C^* -algebra extension $I \hookrightarrow A \twoheadrightarrow A/I$ with a direct sum decomposition $I = I_1 \oplus I_2 \oplus \dots \oplus I_n$.

2.3 Kirchberg's bivariant K-theory

Definition 30. A *morphism* between two C^* -algebras over X is a $*$ -homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ that maps $A(U)$ to $B(U)$ for all open subsets $U \subseteq X$.

Theorem 31. *If X is Hausdorff and A and B are C^* -algebras over X (equivalently, $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ -algebras), then the morphisms are the $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ -linear $*$ -homomorphisms.*

Definition 32 (Eberhard Kirchberg). Let A and B be C^* -algebras over X and let (\mathcal{E}, F) be a Kasparov cycle for $\mathrm{KK}_*(A, B)$. We call it a *Kasparov cycle over X* if $A(U) \cdot \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E} \cdot B(U)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$.

$\mathrm{KK}_*^X(A, B)$ is the group of homotopy classes of such Kasparov cycles over X .

Formal properties

- Since we only impose restrictions on the Hilbert module, the *Kasparov product* works as usual for Kasparov cycles over X .
- There is an *exterior product*

$$\mathrm{KK}_*^X(A, B) \otimes \mathrm{KK}_*(D, E) \rightarrow \mathrm{KK}_*^X(A \otimes D, B \otimes E).$$

This allows us to carry over properties like *C^* -stability* and *Bott periodicity* from KK to KK^X .

- There are *long exact sequences* in both variables for an extension $I \hookrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow Q$ of C^* -algebras over X with a completely positive contractive section over X , that is, the section maps $Q(U)$ to $E(U)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$.

In particular, KK^X is *split-exact* in both variables.

Theorem 33 (Universal property). *Kirchberg's bivariant K-theory is the universal split-exact C^* -stable functor on the category of separable C^* -algebras over X .*

3 The bootstrap class

3.1 The classical case

Definition 34. The *bootstrap class* \mathcal{B} in KK is the smallest class of C^* -algebras containing the *generator* \mathbb{C} and closed under the following operations:

- suspensions $A \mapsto \mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{R}, A)$
- countable direct sums
- KK -equivalence
- mapping cones: if $f: A \rightarrow B$ is a $*$ -homomorphism and A and B belong to the bootstrap class, so does $\text{cone}(f)$.

Lemma 35. *The bootstrap class \mathcal{B} is also closed under*

- *extensions with completely positive section*
- *inductive limits with completely positive approximations*
- *fibred products of $A \xrightarrow{p} B \leftarrow A'$ if p is surjective with a completely positive section*

Theorem 36. *Let G be a locally compact group with the extra strong Baum–Connes property and let A be a G - C^* -algebra.*

$$A \rtimes H \text{ in } \mathcal{B} \text{ for all compact subgroups } H \subseteq G \implies A \rtimes G \text{ in } \mathcal{B}$$

Theorem 37. *Let G be a connected Lie group with torsion-free fundamental group, let $T \subseteq G$ be a maximal torus, and let A be a G - C^* -algebra.*

$$A \rtimes G \text{ in } \mathcal{B} \iff A \rtimes T \text{ in } \mathcal{B} \implies A \text{ in } \mathcal{B}$$

Further properties of the bootstrap class

Question

Are all separable *nuclear* C^* -algebras in the bootstrap class?

Example 38 (Georges Skandalis). If G is a cocompact lattice in $\text{Sp}(n, 1)$, then $C_r^*(G)$ does not belong to the bootstrap class.

Reason: The image of $\gamma \in \text{KK}_0^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$ in $\text{KK}_0(C_r^*G, C_r^*G)$ acts identically on $K_*(C_r^*G)$, but it is not invertible.

Exercise

The bootstrap class is not closed under crossed products by actions of $\mathbb{Z}/2$.

Hint (Chris Phillips)

There is an action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on a contractible, commutative C^* -algebra with $K_*(\mathbb{Z}/2 \rtimes A) \neq 0$. Tensor this with Skandalis' counterexample.

3.2 Bootstrap class over a space

Localisation at K-theory over a space X

X : a topological T_0 -space.

$F(A)$: $\bigoplus_{U \in \mathcal{O}(X)} K_*(A(U))$ as functor on $\text{KK}(X)$

$\mathcal{B}(X)^\perp$: $\{A \in \text{KK}(X) \mid F(A) = 0\}$

- If $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)^\perp$, then any computation that is based on K-theoretic invariants of A must give zero.
- The bootstrap class is supposed to be the *localisation* of $\text{KK}(X)$ at the subcategory $\mathcal{B}(X)^\perp$: it is a “quotient” of $\text{KK}(X)$ where
 - objects of $\mathcal{B}(X)^\perp$ become zero;
 - an element in $\text{KK}_0^X(A, B)$ becomes invertible if it induces an isomorphism $F(A) \cong F(B)$.

Lemma 39. *Let \mathcal{U} be an open covering of X .*

If $K_(A(U)) = 0$ whenever $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ is contained in some $V \in \mathcal{U}$, then $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)^\perp$.*

Small open subsets versus fibres

- If X is a *Hausdorff space*, then a C^* -algebra over X has *fibres* $A(x) := A/A(X \setminus \{x\})$ for all $x \in X$.
- For continuous bundles of nuclear C^* -algebras, Marius Dadarlat’s lecture showed that we can detect invertibility of a KK^X -morphism by its restrictions to these fibres.
- This suggests that a KK^X -morphism should become invertible in $\mathcal{B}(X)$ once its restrictions to the fibres in $\text{KK}_*(A(x), B(x))$ are invertible for all $x \in X$.

I do not know how to prove this.

- Such a reduction to fibres is impossible for general non-Hausdorff spaces because bundles over such spaces need not have any “fibres” at all.