

# AUTOMORPHIC PSEUDO-DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Lecture delivered at the 2nd Spring Institute on NCGOA  
Vanderbilt University, May 21, 2004

Paula B. Cohen, Texas A&M University

Joint work with D. Zagier, Y. Manin, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik  
Reference: in Algebraic Aspects of Integrable Systems: Progress in  
Non-linear Diff. Equ. Appl., Volume 26, Birkhäuser, 1997.

Related to: Lectures of Henri Moscovici at this same NCGOA meeting

For recent developments of this work in the *classical* direction, especially to generalizing to modular groups acting on higher dimensional spaces, see papers of Min Ho Lee: <http://www.math.uni.edu/lee/pub.html>. He has, for example, developed the Hilbert modular case. Also, Olav Richter's work on Rankin-Cohen brackets: <http://www.math.unt.edu/richter/>. Work of Conley on 1/2-integral weight: <http://www.math.unt.edu/conley/publications.htm>.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

The group  $\Gamma$  acts (projectively) on the complex upper half plane  $\mathcal{H}$ :

let  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $ad - bc = 1$ , be an element of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\gamma : z \in \mathcal{H} \mapsto \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$\text{since } \mathrm{Im}(\gamma(z)) = \frac{\mathrm{Im}(z)}{|cz + d|^2} > 0 \text{ as } \mathrm{Im}(z) > 0.$$

**Examples:**  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Every  $z \in \mathcal{H}$  corresponds to a lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ :

$$z \in \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_z = \mathbb{Z}z + \mathbb{Z}$$

This induces a bijection

$\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H} \simeq$  isomorphism classes of complex one dimensional tori

$$z \pmod{\Gamma} \mapsto \mathbb{C} \pmod{\mathcal{L}}$$

on the left: fractional linear transformation; on the right: translation.

Let

$$g_2(z) = g_2(\mathcal{L}_z) = 60 \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (mz + n)^{-4}$$

$$g_3(z) = g_3(\mathcal{L}_z) = 140 \sum_{(m,n) \neq (0,0) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} (mz + n)^{-6},$$

then  $\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{L}_z \simeq \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C})$ : with  $\mathcal{E}$  having affine locus the solutions of:

$$y^2 = 4x^3 - g_2(z)x - g_3(z).$$

**Congruence subgroups of  $\Gamma$ :** ( $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ). Let  $N \geq 1$  be an integer.

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$$

$$\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$$

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$$

\* signifies the absence of a congruence condition.

$\Gamma(N)$  normal in  $\Gamma$ , but  $\Gamma_0(N)$ ,  $\Gamma_1(N)$  are not;  $\Gamma_1(N)$  normal in  $\Gamma_0(N)$ .

Let  $\Gamma'$  denote any one of these groups.

**Modular forms:**

“functions” on lattices, so “functions” on  $\Gamma' \backslash \mathcal{H}$  – the *modular points*.

Lattice:  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\omega = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ ,  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Reference:

Introduction to Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms,  
N. Koblitz, GTM 97, Springer (2nd Edition).

## GROUP

## MODULAR POINT

- (i)  $\Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ; *Lattice*  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$
- (ii)  $\Gamma_1(N)$ ;  $(\mathcal{L}, t)$ ,  $t$  a point of order exactly  $N$  in  $\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{L}$ .
- (iii)  $\Gamma_0(N)$ ;  $(\mathcal{L}, S)$ ,  $S$  a cyclic subgroup of order  $N$  in  $\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{L}$ .
- (iv)  $\Gamma(N)$ ;  $(\mathcal{L}, \{t_1, t_2\})$ ,  $t_1, t_2$  basis of points of order exactly  $N$  in  $\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{L}$ .

For (i) through (iv) consider

$$F : \{\text{Modular Points}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

of “weight”  $k$  (where  $k$  will be an integer or half-integer).

For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , let  $\lambda\mathcal{L} = \{\lambda\ell : \ell \in \mathcal{L}\}$ , then  $\lambda t \in \mathbb{C}/\lambda\mathcal{L}$ ;  $\lambda S \subseteq \mathbb{C}/\lambda\mathcal{L}$ .

Require:

- (i)  $F(\lambda\mathcal{L}) = \lambda^{-k}F(\mathcal{L})$
- (ii)  $F((\lambda\mathcal{L}, \lambda t)) = \lambda^{-k}F((\mathcal{L}, t))$
- (iii)  $F((\lambda\mathcal{L}, \lambda S)) = \lambda^{-k}F((\mathcal{L}, S))$
- (iv)  $F((\lambda\mathcal{L}, \{\lambda t_1, \lambda t_2\})) = \lambda^{-k}F((\mathcal{L}, \{t_1, t_2\}))$

Correspondence:  $F \rightarrow \tilde{F} \rightarrow f$

Lattice:  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\omega = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ ,  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ .

$\tilde{F}$  function on vectors  $\omega = {}^t(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  with  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \in \mathcal{H}$ .

$f$  function on  $\mathcal{H}$

Define:

$$(i) \tilde{F}(\omega) = F(\mathcal{L}_\omega)$$

$$(ii) \tilde{F}(\omega) = F((\mathcal{L}_\omega, \frac{\omega_1}{N}))$$

$$(iii) \tilde{F}(\omega) = F((\mathcal{L}_\omega, \mathbb{Z}\frac{\omega_1}{N}))$$

$$(iv) \tilde{F}(\omega) = F((\mathcal{L}_\omega, \{\frac{\omega_1}{N}, \frac{\omega_2}{N}\}))$$

In all cases, define

$$f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

by

$$f(z) = \tilde{F}^t(1, z).$$

Then:  $F$  a function of weight  $k$  on modular points implies,

$$f(\gamma(z)) = f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k f(z), \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma'.$$

We write this as,

$$(f |_k \gamma)(z) := (cz+d)^{-k} f(\gamma(z)) = f(z).$$

Fact:  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ : Bijections:  $F \leftrightarrow \tilde{F} \leftrightarrow f$  with:

- (1)  $F$  function of weight  $k$  on modular points;
- (2)  $\tilde{F}$  function on vectors  $\omega$  with  $\omega_2/\omega_1 \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\tilde{F}(\gamma(\omega)) = \tilde{F}(\omega)$ ;  $\tilde{F}(\lambda\omega) = \lambda^{-k} \tilde{F}(\omega)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ ;
- (3)  $f$  function on  $z \in \mathcal{H}$  with  $(f |_k \gamma)(z) = f(z)$ .

## Why study congruence subgroups?

Lots of reasons, mainly arithmetic. Let's mention one.

Kronecker Jugendtraum and Hilbert's twelfth problem.  
(Reference – for lots of good stuff!: website of J. Milne,  
<http://www.jmilne.org/math/index.html>)

Kronecker's dream: Every abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is contained in the field obtained by adjoining to  $\mathbb{Q}$  all values of the function  $\exp(2\pi iz)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{Q}, z \neq 0$ , and every abelian extension of a quadratic imaginary field  $K$  is contained in the field obtained by adjoining to  $K$  all values of the function  $j(z)$  for  $z \in K, z \neq 0$ , where  $j(z)$  is the classical elliptic modular function (of weight zero) for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Actually, the above statement is not quite correct as one needs also values of elliptic functions at points of finite order of the corresponding lattice. For a precise statement, see Milne's website referenced above.

Hilbert's twelfth problem: For any number field  $K$ , find functions that play the role for  $K$  that the exponential function plays for  $\mathbb{Q}$  and the modular function  $j$  plays for a quadratic imaginary fields, (see Milne website).

The appearance of points of finite order of lattices and of the values  $j(\sqrt{-N})$  for  $N > 0$  a non-square integer, leads naturally to the appearance of congruence subgroups. For example, there is a natural dependence relation  $\Phi_N(j(Nz), j(z)) \equiv 0$  with  $\Phi_N(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$  giving the affine equation for the modular curve  $\Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathcal{H}$  and we have  $\Phi_N(j(\sqrt{-N}), j(\sqrt{-N})) = 0$ . We saw above relations between congruence subgroups and points of finite order.

## Why study Elliptic Curves and Modular Forms?

Lots of reasons: basic in arithmetic, geometry, topology...this meeting...

Manin–Marcolli:  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathcal{H}$  has a non-commutative boundary  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \mathbb{P}_1(\mathbb{R})$ .

The non-commutative boundary corresponds to Morita equivalence classes of real 2-dimensional non-commutative tori.

Connes–Moscovici: Modular *Hecke* algebras: see Moscovici lectures: have associative multiplications on these algebras via Rankin–Cohen brackets. Uses P.B.C.- Manin–Zagier: multiplication from automorphic pseudo-differential operators.

## Automorphic Pseudo-differential Operators

Now let  $\Gamma$  be any discrete subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  acting projectively on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $z$  be a variable with values in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  be differentiation with respect to  $z$ .

Co-ordinate change:  $\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}(z)$ , with  $\tilde{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}}$ , then  $\partial = \partial(\tilde{z})\tilde{\partial}$ .

$(R, \partial)$ : ring of functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  with a (not necessarily closed) action of  $\partial$ .

Example:

$R$  is a ring of functions  $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $(f|_k \gamma)(z) = f(z)$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $f$

“meromorphic at infinity”:

$$f = f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \exp(2\pi i n z), \quad a_n = 0, \quad n \geq -M, \quad \text{some } M \geq 0.$$

We say then that  $f$  is a *modular function of weight  $k$* . Just *modular function* usually means that  $k = 0$ .

If also  $f$  “holomorphic at infinity”:  $a_n = 0$ ,  $n < 0$ , we say that  $f$  is a *modular form of weight  $k$* :  $f \in M_k(\Gamma)$ .

Formal pseudo-differential operators,  $\partial^{-1}$  formal inverse of  $\partial$ :

$$\psi DO(R) := \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n \partial^{-n} : h_n \in R, h_n = 0 \quad n \geq -M, \text{ some } M \geq 0 \right\}$$

$\psi DO(R)$ : Laurent series in  $\partial^{-1}$  with coefficients in  $R$ .

Differential operators:  $DO(R)$  are  $\psi DO(R)$ 's with  $h_n = 0$  for  $n > 0$ .

$\psi DO(R)$ 's form a ring (extend Leibniz's rule on  $DO(R)$ 's):

$$\left( \sum_n g_n \partial^{-n} \right) \left( \sum_m h_m \partial^{-m} \right) = \sum_{n,m} \sum_{r \geq 0} \binom{-n}{r} g_n \partial^r (h_m) \partial^{-n-m-r}.$$

Extended binomial coefficient:  $w \in \mathbb{C}, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\binom{w}{\ell} = \frac{w(w-1)\dots(w-\ell+1)}{\ell!}.$$

$\psi DO(R)$  of weight  $k$  (assumed an integer here, could be complex number):

$$\psi DO(R)_k = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n \partial^{k-n}, \quad f_n \in R \right\}.$$

$$\psi DO(R)_{k_1} \cdot \psi DO(R)_{k_2} \subseteq \psi DO(R)_{k_1+k_2}.$$

Note:

$$\psi DO(R) = \psi DO(R)_{-1} \oplus DO(R).$$

**Short exact sequence:** denote by (S)

$$0 \rightarrow \psi DO(R)_{-k-1} \rightarrow \psi DO(R)_{-k} \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0 \quad (S)$$

The last arrow is the map:  $\sum_{m \geq 0} f_m \partial^{-k-m} \mapsto f_0$ .

Action of  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ :

$$\tilde{z} = \gamma(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}, \quad \tilde{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}} = (cz + d)^2 \partial.$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{\partial}^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! \binom{k}{n} \binom{k-1}{n} c^n (cz + d)^{2k-n} \partial^{k-n}$$

Have an induced action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\psi DO = \psi DO(R)$ .

The induced action of  $\Gamma$  on  $f_0 \in R$  in the above sequence (S) is:

$$f_0 \mapsto (f_0 |_{2k} \gamma)(z) = (cz + d)^{-2k} f_0 \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right).$$

$M_k = M_k(\Gamma) = M_k(R, \Gamma)$ :  $f \in R$  invariant under  $f \mapsto f |_k \gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Take  $\Gamma$ -invariants in exact sequence (S):

$$0 \rightarrow \psi DO(R)_{-k-1}^\Gamma \rightarrow \psi DO(R)_{-k}^\Gamma \rightarrow M_{2k}(\Gamma) \rightarrow 0 \quad (S)_\Gamma$$

We proved the sequence  $(S)_\Gamma$  splits canonically to give a lift:

$$M_{2k}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \psi DO_{-k}^\Gamma.$$

More precisely:

**Theorem:** (PBC – Manin – Zagier)

For  $k \geq 1$  define  $\mathcal{L}_k : R \rightarrow \psi DO(R)_{-k}$ , by

$$\mathcal{L}_k(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(n+k)!(n+k-1)!}{n!(n+2k-1)!} f^{(n)} \partial^{-k-n},$$

and  $\mathcal{L}_{-k} : R \rightarrow DO(R)_k$ , by

$$\mathcal{L}_{-k}(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{(2k-n)!}{n!(k-n)!(k-n-1)!} f^{(n)} \partial^{k-n},$$

with  $\mathcal{L}_0(f) = f$ . Then,

$$\mathcal{L}_k(f|_{2k} \gamma) = \mathcal{L}_k(f) \circ \gamma, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and therefore if  $f \in M_{2k}(\Gamma)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_k(f) \in \psi DO_{-k}^\Gamma$ .

**Why? Answer: Casimir Operator.**

There is an action of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$  on  $\psi DO$ 's by commutation.

Generators:  $L_j = z^{j+1}\partial$ ,  $j = -1, 0, 1$  acting by ( $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ):

$$L_{-1}(f\partial^k) = [\partial, f\partial^k] = f'\partial^k,$$

$$L_0(f\partial^k) = (zf' - kf)\partial^k,$$

$$L_1(f\partial^k) = (z^2f' - 2kzf)\partial^k - k(k+1)zf\partial^{k-1}.$$

Casimir operator:  $C = L_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}(L_1L_{-1} + L_{-1}L_1)$ , trivial on functions.

We have:  $C(f\partial^k) = k(k+1)f\partial^k + k(k-1)f'\partial^{k-1}$ .

Casimir acts by  $k(k+1)$  on  $\psi DO_k/\psi DO_{k-1} \simeq R$ .

Hence lift (or splitting) is an eigenvector of  $C$  with eigenvalue  $k(k+1)$ .

Swap  $k$  to  $-k$  and let the lift be  $\psi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(z)\partial^{-k-n}$ , we have

$$[C - k(k-1)]\psi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n(n+2k-1)f_n + (n+k)(n+k-1)f'_{n-1})\partial^{-k-n}.$$

Inductively:  $f_n$  the multiple of  $f^{(n)}$  given in the Theorem  
(if we take  $f_0 = \binom{2k-1}{k}^{-1}f$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , and  $f_0 = \frac{(2|k|)!}{|k|!(|k|-1)!}f$ ,  $k < 0$ ).

## Non-commutative, associative multiplications on modular forms

Rankin-Cohen brackets: bilinear maps on modular forms,  $k, \ell, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$[\cdot, \cdot]_n = [\cdot, \cdot]_n^{(k, \ell)} : M_{2k} \otimes M_{2\ell} \rightarrow M_{2k+2\ell+2n}$$

$$[f, g]_n^{(k, \ell)}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m \binom{2k+n-1}{n-m} \binom{2\ell+n-1}{m} f^{(m)}(z) g^{(n-m)}(z).$$

Rankin (1956): multi-linear DO's sending modular forms to modular forms.

H. Cohen (1974): made explicit the UNIQUE such map above  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  (up to a multiplicative constant).

Transfer: Non-commutative composition of  $\psi DO$ 's to non-commutative multiplication in modular forms.

$$\mathcal{L}_k(f)\mathcal{L}_\ell(g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{L}_{k+\ell+n}(h_n),$$

converts to a map  $f \in M_{2k}, g \in M_{2\ell} \mapsto \{h_n \in M_{2k+2\ell+2n}\}$  (see paper),

By uniqueness of  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  up to a multiplicative constant,

$$h_n = h_n(z) = t_n [f, g]_n^{(k, \ell)}(z).$$

By an inductive argument (which can be checked by direct computation),

**Theorem:** (PBC, Manin, Zagier) For  $k, \ell, n \geq 0$ , define

$$t_n(k, \ell) = \left(\frac{-1}{4}\right)^n \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{n}{2j} \frac{\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j} \binom{-\frac{3}{2}}{j} \binom{\frac{1}{2}}{j}}{\binom{-k-\frac{1}{2}}{j} \binom{-\ell-\frac{1}{2}}{j} \binom{n+k+\ell-\frac{3}{2}}{j}},$$

then the multiplication  $\mu$  on  $M_*(\Gamma)$  defined by

$$\mu(f, g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n(k, \ell) [f, g]_n^{(k, \ell)}, \quad f \in M_{2k}, g \in M_{2\ell},$$

is associative and the lift

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_k \mathcal{L}_k : M_*(\Gamma) \rightarrow \psi DO^\Gamma$$

is a ring homomorphism with respect to this multiplication.

Considering pseudo-differential operators  $\psi DO^{\Gamma, k}$  transforming like

$$\psi \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right) = (cz + d)^\kappa \psi(z) (cz + d)^{-\kappa}, \quad \kappa \in \mathbb{C}$$

we can repeat everything (formally, should choose branch if  $\kappa \notin \mathbb{Z}$ ).

By an inductive argument (which can be checked by direct computation),

**Theorem:** (PBC, Manin, Zagier) For  $k, \ell, n \geq 0$ ,  $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$  define,

$$t_n^\kappa(k, \ell) = \left(\frac{-1}{4}\right)^n \sum_{j \geq 0} \binom{n}{2j} \frac{\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j} \binom{\kappa - \frac{3}{2}}{j} \binom{\frac{1}{2} - \kappa}{j}}{\binom{-k - \frac{1}{2}}{j} \binom{-\ell - \frac{1}{2}}{j} \binom{n+k+\ell - \frac{3}{2}}{j}},$$

Then, the multiplication  $\mu^\kappa$  on  $M_*(\Gamma)$  defined by,

$$\mu^\kappa(f, g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t_n^\kappa(k, \ell) [f, g]_n^{(k, \ell)}, \quad f \in M_{2k}, g \in M_{2\ell},$$

is associative and the lift

$$\mathcal{L}^\kappa = \prod_k \mathcal{L}_k^\kappa : M_*(\Gamma) \rightarrow \psi DO^{\Gamma, \kappa}$$

is a ring homomorphism with respect to this multiplication, where

$$\mathcal{L}_k^\kappa = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{-k}{n} \binom{-k+\kappa-1}{n}}{\binom{-2k}{n}} f^{(n)} \partial^{-k-n}.$$

Generalized by Connes-Moscovici to modular Hecke algebras.

## Residues, Duality and Symmetry

Following Manin (1991, NC Geom. book), we looked at

$$\text{Res}_\partial : \sum_m h_m(z) \partial^m \mapsto h_{-1}(z) dz \in H(R),$$

where

$$H(R) = \Omega^1(R) / d\Omega^0(R),$$

with  $\Omega^1(R) = R dz$  and  $d\Omega^0(R) = dR = \{f'(z) dz, f \in R\}$ .

We have

- (a)  $\text{Res}_\partial(\psi \circ g) = \text{Res}_\partial(\psi) \circ g$ , for  $g$  holomorphic co-ordinate change,
- (b)  $\text{Res}_\partial(\psi_1(z)\psi_2(z)) = \text{Res}_\partial(\psi_2(z)\psi_1(z))$ : tracial property,

There is a bijection between  $H(R)^\Gamma$  and  $M_2(\Gamma) / \partial(M_0(\Gamma))$  given by

$$f(z) dz \mapsto f(z),$$

where  $H(R)^\Gamma$  is the  $\Gamma$ -invariant elements of  $H(R)$ . We have the map

$$\text{Res}_\partial : \psi DO^{\Gamma, \kappa} \rightarrow H(R)^\Gamma \simeq M_2(\Gamma) / \partial(M_0(\Gamma)),$$

and the projection

$$P : M_*(\Gamma) \rightarrow M_2(\Gamma) / \partial(M_0(\Gamma)) \simeq H(R)^\Gamma.$$

The following properties are proved in our paper,

**Proposition:** (PBC–Manin–Zagier)

- (i)  $P([f, g]_n) = 0, n > 0,$
- (ii)  $\{f, g, h\}_n := P([f, g]_n h), n \geq 0$   
*is invariant under cyclic permutations.*

As a consequence we have, for example, the following:

For  $f \in M_{2k}, g \in M_{2\ell}, h \in M_{2m}, k + \ell + m = 1 - n,$

**Corollary:** (PBC–Manin–Zagier)

$$\text{Res}_\partial (\mathcal{L}^{2k}(f)\mathcal{L}^{2\ell}(g)\mathcal{L}^{2m}(h)) = \text{Res}_\partial (\mathcal{L}(\mu(f, g)) \mathcal{L}(h)) = t_n(k, \ell)\{f, g, h\}_n.$$

The expression on the left is invariant under cyclic permutations of  $f$ ,  $g$ , and  $h$  by the tracial property of  $\text{Res}_\partial$ , and the triple bracket  $\{f, g, h\}_n$  is invariant under cyclic permutations by part (ii) of the Proposition, so the coefficient  $t_n(k, \ell)$  inherits these symmetries: e.g.

$$t_n(k, \ell) = t_n(\ell, m) = t_n(\ell, 1 - n - k - \ell).$$

An interpretation of the non-commutative residue in terms of cyclic cohomology has been given in the work of Connes-Moscovici on Modular Hecke Algebras.