

CORRIGENDUM TO “ASYMPTOTICS FOR THE UNCONSTRAINED POLARIZATION (CHEBYSHEV) PROBLEM”

DOUGLAS HARDIN, MIRCEA PETRACHE, AND EDWARD B. SAFF

The authors are grateful to Alex Vlasiuk for pointing out that the derivation of equation (5.23) from equation (5.22) of [2] in the proof of [2, Thm. 1.12] did not take into account the measure of the boundary of A . We provide here, in Proposition 2 below, a substitute for this derivation valid in the case $s > p$, whereas for $s = p$ we add to [2, Thm. 1.12] the additional hypothesis $\mathcal{L}^p(\partial A) = 0$; namely, that A is Jordan-measurable. The amended statement of [2, Thm. 1.12] is therefore as follows, with notation as in [2]:

Theorem 1 (Replacement of [2, Thm. 1.12]). *If $A \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ is a compact set and $s > p$, or if $s = p$ and $\mathcal{L}^p(\partial A) = 0$, then*

$$h_{s,p}^*(A) = h_{s,p}(A) = \frac{\sigma_{s,p}}{\mathcal{L}_p(A)^{s/p}}. \quad (0.1)$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{L}_p(A) > 0$, then for any asymptotically extremal sequence $\Omega = \{\omega_N\}_{N \geq 1}$ (for either the constrained or unconstrained polarization problem) we have the weak- $*$ convergence

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{x_i \in \omega_N} \delta_{x_i} \xrightarrow{*} \frac{\mathcal{L}_p|_A}{\mathcal{L}_p(A)} \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty, \quad (0.2)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_p|_A := \mathcal{L}_p(\cdot \cap A)$ is the restriction to A of \mathcal{L}_p .

Note that there is no difference between the statement of Theorem 1 above and that of [2, Thm. 1.12] in the case $s > p$. As for the case $s = p$, the modified assumption has no impact for the remaining results of [2] as this case only arises in Thm. 1.12.

The proof of Theorem 1 follows exactly like the one of [2, Thm. 1.12], except for the following changes:

- For the case $s = p$, with the further hypothesis $\mathcal{L}^p(\partial A) = 0$ in Theorem 1, we can take the sets $G_i := A \cap B_i$ in the paragraph following (5.12) of [2], and the proof holds verbatim.
- For the case $s > p$, Proposition 2 below, applied to the sets $A \cap B_i$ and B_i from eq. (5.22) of [2] allows to replace eq. (5.23) therein. The new constant $c_{s,p}$ from Proposition 2 below replaces the constant 2 in eq. (5.23) of [2] after which the proof of Theorem 1.12 of [2] follows with no further modifications.

The new result needed for the case $s > p$ is the following:

Proposition 2. *For $s > p \geq 1$, there exists a constant $c_{s,p} > 0$ with the following properties. Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $B \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be a ball and $A \subset B$ a closed set such that $\mathcal{L}^p(B \setminus A) < \epsilon \mathcal{L}^p(B)$. Then there holds*

$$\bar{h}_{s,p}^*(A) \leq (1 - c_{s,p} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}}) \bar{h}_{s,p}^*(B). \quad (0.3)$$

The proof of Proposition 2 is based on two lemmas. For this section, we consider a closed ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ and a closed subset $A \subset B$, such that $\mathcal{L}^p(B \setminus A) < \epsilon$. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N)$ be the optimum N -point polarization of set A , and let ω_N^A be an N -point configuration such that

$$\mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y) := \sum_{x \in \omega_N^A} |x - y|^{-s} = \mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N). \quad (0.4)$$

Lemma 3. *Let $s > 0$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and let N be a positive integer. If $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is such that*

$$\text{dist}(y, A) < \frac{\delta}{s} (\mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N))^{-\frac{1}{s}} \quad (0.5)$$

then

$$\mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y) \geq (1 - \delta) \mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N). \quad (0.6)$$

Proof. First note that if $\text{dist}(y, \omega_N^A) < (\mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N))^{-\frac{1}{s}}$ then

$$\mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y) \geq \mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N),$$

and thus (0.6) holds *a fortiori*. Therefore from now on we consider points $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ such that (0.5) and $\text{dist}(y, \omega_N^A) \geq (\mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N))^{-\frac{1}{s}}$ hold, and our goal is to prove (0.6) for such y .

Let $y_1 \in A$ be such that $|y_1 - y| = \text{dist}(y, A)$ and let

$$y_2 \in \operatorname{argmax}_{y' \in [y, y_1]} \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y'). \quad (0.7)$$

We claim that the following chain of inequalities holds:

$$\mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y) \geq \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y_2) - \int_0^1 \left| \nabla \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y + t(y_2 - y)) \cdot (y_2 - y) \right| dt \quad (0.8)$$

$$\geq \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y_2) - s|y_2 - y| \left[\min_{y' \in [y, y_2]} \text{dist}(y', \omega_N^A) \right]^{-1} \max_{y' \in [y, y_2]} \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y') \quad (0.9)$$

$$\geq (1 - \delta) \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y_2) \geq (1 - \delta) \mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N). \quad (0.10)$$

We now prove the above. The bound (0.8) follows by Taylor expansion. Inequality (0.9) follows by noting that whenever $x \neq y'$ we have $|\nabla_y |x - y'|^{-s}| = s|x - y'|^{-s-1}$, therefore for $y' \in [y, y_2]$ we have

$$\left| \nabla \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y') \right| \leq s \sum_{x \in \omega_N^A} |x - y'|^{-s-1} \leq s \left[\min_{y' \in [y, y_2]} \text{dist}(y', \omega_N^A) \right]^{-1} \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y').$$

The first inequality in (0.10) follows by using definition (0.7) of y_2 , and the bounds following from our hypotheses on y : $|y_2 - y| \leq |y_1 - y| = \text{dist}(y, A) \leq \frac{\delta}{s} (\mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N))^{-\frac{1}{s}}$ and $\text{dist}(y, \omega_N^A) \geq (\mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N))^{-\frac{1}{s}}$. The second inequality in (0.10) follows by the fact that $y_1 \in A$ and the definition of y_2 , and of ω_N^A :

$$\mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N) = \min_{x \in A} \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(x) \leq \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y_1) \leq \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y_2)$$

□

Recall the notation, for the r -neighborhood of a closed set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, for $r > 0$:

$$(K)_r := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \text{dist}(x, K) < r\}.$$

Lemma 4. *There exists a constant $c_p > 0$ with the following properties. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be a ball and let $A \subset B$ be a closed set, such that for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ there holds $\mathcal{L}^p(B \setminus A) < \epsilon \mathcal{L}^p(B)$. Then for each $r \in (0, \epsilon \text{diam}(B))$ we can cover all of $B \setminus (A)_r$ by at most $c_p \frac{\epsilon \mathcal{L}^p(B)}{r^p}$ balls of radius r .*

Proof. Let $r' := r/\sqrt{p}$ and we show that we may take as the set of ball centers \mathcal{U} the following:

$$W := \{r'k : k \in \mathbb{Z}^p, (r'k + [-r', r']^p) \cap (B \setminus (A)_r) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Equivalently, W is formed by those vertices of $(r'\mathbb{Z}^p)$ -grid cubes of the form $r'(k + [0, 1]^p)$ which meet $B \setminus (A)_r$.

We note that the balls with centers in W and radius $\frac{r'}{2}$ are disjoint and contained in the r' -neighborhood of $B \setminus (A)_r$. Furthermore, we have the inclusion

$$(B \setminus (A)_r)_{r'} \subset (B)_{r'} \setminus A = ((B)_{r'} \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A),$$

from which it follows that, denoting by $\#W$ the cardinality of W ,

$$\#W \cdot \mathcal{L}^p(B_{\frac{r'}{2}}) \leq \mathcal{L}^p((B)_{r'} \setminus B) + \mathcal{L}^p(B \setminus A) \leq \left(C_p \frac{r'}{\text{diam}(B)} + \epsilon \right) \mathcal{L}^p(B) \leq (C_p + 1) \epsilon \mathcal{L}^p(B).$$

This implies that for $c_p := 2^p(C_p + 1)/(p^{\frac{p}{2}}\beta_p)$, in which β_p is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^p , there holds

$$\#W \leq c_p \epsilon \frac{\mathcal{L}^p(B)}{r^p}.$$

It remains to show that radius- r balls with centers in W cover $B \setminus (A)_r$. Indeed, note that if the cube $r'k + [-r', r']^p$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ meets $B \setminus (A)_r$, then it is contained in the ball $B(r'k, \sqrt{p} r') = B(r'k, r)$, and thus

$$B \setminus (A)_r \subset \bigcup_{r'k \in W} (r'k + [-r', r']^p) \subset \bigcup_{r'k \in W} B(r'k, r),$$

as desired. □

Proof of Proposition 2: Observe that by the same proof as in [1, Thm. 3.4], which applies also without the restriction that the optimum polarization points belong to A , with \mathcal{L}^p used as the measure μ in the proof, there exists a constant $C_{s,p} > 0$ independent of N, A , such that $\mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N) \leq C_{s,p} N^{s/p} / (\mathcal{L}^p(A))^{s/p}$. Now, applying Lemma 3 with $\delta := \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}}$ we find that for the optimum configuration ω_N^A like in (0.4), with

$$r_N := \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}}}{s} (\mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N))^{-\frac{1}{s}} \geq \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}} (\mathcal{L}^p(A))^{\frac{1}{p}}}{s(C_{s,p})^{\frac{1}{s}}} N^{-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (0.11)$$

we have

$$\forall y \in (A)_{r_N}, \quad \mathcal{U}_{\omega_N^A}(y) \geq \left(1 - \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right) \mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N). \quad (0.12)$$

Next, for N large enough so that $r_N < \epsilon \operatorname{diam}(B)$ we apply Lemma 4 with r_N playing the role of r , and we find a set of centers W such that, using also (0.11) in the second inequality below:

$$B \setminus (A)_{r_N} \subset \bigcup_{x \in W} B(x, r_N), \quad \#W \leq c_p \epsilon \frac{\mathcal{L}^p(B)}{r_N^p} \leq \tilde{C}_{s,p} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}} \frac{\mathcal{L}^p(B)}{\mathcal{L}^p(A)} N \leq \tilde{C}_{s,p} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}} (1 - \epsilon) N. \quad (0.13)$$

By considering the new configuration $\omega_{\tilde{N}} := \omega_N^A \cup W$ whose cardinality is denoted \tilde{N} , we find that

$$\tilde{N} \in \left[N, N \left(1 + \tilde{C}_{s,p} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}} (1 - \epsilon)\right) \right], \quad \mathcal{U}_{\omega_{\tilde{N}}}(y) \geq \begin{cases} \left(1 - \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right) \mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N) & \text{for } y \in (A)_{r_N}, \\ \mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N) & \text{for } y \in B \setminus (A)_{r_N}, \end{cases} \quad (0.14)$$

in which for the first inequality we use (0.12) and for the second one we use the first part of (0.13) and the fact that for $x \in W, y \in B(x, r_N)$ there holds, since $\epsilon < 1 \leq p < s$,

$$\mathcal{U}_{\omega_{\tilde{N}}}(y) \geq |x - y|^{-s} \geq r_N^{-s} \geq \left(\frac{\epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}}}{s}\right)^s \mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N) \geq \mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N).$$

We then find that, using also the fact that $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_s^*(B, \tilde{N})}{\tilde{N}^{s/p}} \geq \frac{1 - \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}}}{\left(1 + \tilde{C}_{s,p} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}} (1 - \epsilon)\right)^{s/p}} \frac{\mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N)}{N^{s/p}} \geq (1 - \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}}) \left(1 - \frac{s}{p} \tilde{C}_{s,p} \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p+1}}\right) \frac{\mathcal{P}_s^*(A, N)}{N^{s/p}}, \quad (0.15)$$

from which the bound (0.3) follows, with $c_{s,p} = 1 + \frac{s}{p} \tilde{C}_{s,p}$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Erdélyi and E. B. Saff. Riesz polarization inequalities in higher dimensions. *J. Approx. Theory*, 171:128–147, 2013.
- [2] D. P. Hardin, M. Petrache, E. B. Saff, Asymptotics for the unconstrained polarization (Chebyshev) problem. *Potential Anal.*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11118-020-09875-z>

VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY
Email address: douglas.hardin@Vanderbilt.edu

PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATOLICA DE CHILE
Email address: decostruttivismo@gmail.com

VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY
Email address: Edward.B.Saff@Vanderbilt.edu