

WEIGHTED POLYNOMIAL APPROXIMATION OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

E. B. SAFF AND V. TOTIK

ABSTRACT

A necessary and sufficient condition is given for a weight such that the geometric order of weighted polynomial approximation of a function f is equivalent to the analyticity of f .

1. Background and statements of results for L_p , $0 < p < \infty$

Let $d\mu$ be a Borel measure (not necessarily finite) on $[-1, 1]$ with infinite support and set

$$E_n(f)_{L_p(d\mu)} := \inf_{p_n \in \Pi_n} \|f - p_n\|_{L_p(d\mu)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

which is the error in best approximation of f in $L_p(d\mu)$ by algebraic polynomials of degree at most n . We consider the sets

$$A_\mu := \{f \mid f \text{ coincides } d\mu\text{-a.e. with some } g \text{ analytic on } [-1, 1]\} \quad (1.2)$$

and, for $0 < p \leq \infty$,

$$B_{\mu, p} := \{f \mid \beta(f)_{\mu, p} < 1\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$\beta(f)_{\mu, p} := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E_n(f)_{L_p(d\mu)}]^{1/n}. \quad (1.4)$$

The purpose of this paper is to address the following.

PROBLEM. Determine necessary and sufficient conditions on $d\mu$ such that $A_\mu = B_{\mu, p}$.

For $d\mu(x) = dx$, that is, for Lebesgue measure on $[-1, 1]$, S. N. Bernstein's classical theorem (cf. [2; 7, §5.4.1, 6.5.1]) asserts that, for $p = \infty$, the classes A_μ and $B_{\mu, p}$ coincide and, moreover, the formula

$$\beta(f)_{\mu, p} = \alpha(f) \quad (1.5)$$

holds, where

$$\alpha(f) := \inf \{1/r \mid g \text{ can be analytically extended to the interior of the ellipse } [2x/(r+r^{-1})]^2 + [2y/(r-r^{-1})]^2 = 1, \text{ where } g \text{ is the function in (1.2)}\}. \quad (1.6)$$

Received 8 April 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision) 41A25.

The research of the first author was supported, in part, by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-840 1370.

The work of the second author was supported by the Hungarian National Science Foundation for Research under grant 1157.

In this section, we consider the above problem for $0 < p < \infty$. In Section 2, we provide the proofs of the results stated in Section 1 and, in Section 3, we investigate the case when $p = \infty$.

Our main result for $0 < p < \infty$ is the following.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ be fixed. Then $A_\mu = B_{\mu,p}$ if and only if there exists a polynomial $Q(\not\equiv 0)$ in $L_p(d\mu)$ such that the n th root of the leading coefficient of the n th degree orthonormal polynomial for the weight $|Q|^p d\mu$ tends to 2 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if the latter condition holds for some $Q(\not\equiv 0)$ in $L_p(d\mu)$, then it holds for every $Q(\not\equiv 0)$ in $L_p(d\mu)$.*

We shall also show that the equality of the sets A_μ and $B_{\mu,p}$ is ‘ p -independent’ in the following sense.

COROLLARY 1.2. *If $0 < p, q < \infty$, then $A_\mu = B_{\mu,p}$ if and only if $A_\mu = B_{\mu,q}$.*

Moreover, Bernstein’s formula persists in this general setting. Namely, we have the following.

COROLLARY 1.3. *If $A_\mu = B_{\mu,p}$, then (1.5) holds for every $f \in A_\mu$.*

Theorem 1.1 can also be formulated in terms of the limiting distribution of the zeros of orthonormal polynomials. For this purpose, let us assume that $Q \not\equiv 0$ is a polynomial that belongs to $L_p(d\mu)$ and let

$$R_n(|Q|^p d\mu, z) = \gamma_n(|Q|^p d\mu) z^n + \dots \quad \# \in \Pi_n \tag{1.7}$$

be the n th orthonormal polynomial corresponding to the measure $|Q|^p d\mu$. We say that $|Q|^p d\mu$ is an *arcsine measure* if the zeros $\{x_{i,n}\}_{i=1}^n$ of R_n have the arcsine distribution as $n \rightarrow \infty$; that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\# \{x_{i,n} \mid x_{i,n} \in (a, b)\}}{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b \frac{dx}{(1-x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

for every interval $(a, b) \subset [-1, 1]$. Since arcsine measures play an important role in the theory of orthogonal polynomials, we formulate the following.

COROLLARY 1.4. *Suppose that $d\mu$ is not a singular measure with respect to Lebesgue measure on $[-1, 1]$. Assume that $0 < p < \infty$ and $Q \not\equiv 0$ is a polynomial in $L_p(d\mu)$. Then $A_\mu = B_{\mu,p}$ if and only if $|Q|^p d\mu$ is an arcsine measure.*

As proved in [3, 4], if $d\mu$ is finite and $\mu' > 0$ almost everywhere on $[-1, 1]$, then $d\mu$ is an arcsine measure and, therefore, $A_\mu = B_{\mu,p}$. Note, however, that a construction given in [9] shows that there are sets $E \subset [-1, 1]$ with arbitrarily small Lebesgue measure such that if $\mu' > 0$ almost everywhere on E , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma_n(\mu' \mid_E)]^{1/n} = 2.$$

Since $\text{supp}(d\mu) \subset [-1, 1]$ implies that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma_n(d\mu)]^{1/n} \geq 2$$

(cf. [3]) and $\gamma_n(d\mu)$ is a decreasing function of the measure $d\mu$ (cf. [5, p. 50]), it follows that for such a measure

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma_n(d\mu)]^{1/n} = 2.$$

Thus, there are sets E of arbitrarily small measures such that if $\mu' > 0$ almost everywhere on E , then $A_\mu = B_{\mu, p}$.

We also remark that there are many equivalent formulations of the condition ' $[\gamma_n(|Q|^p d\mu)]^{1/n} \rightarrow 2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ' of Theorem 1.1. One of them is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |R_n(|Q|^p d\mu, z)|^{1/n} = |z + (z^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}| \tag{1.8}$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$ (see, for example, [5, §III, Theorems 7.1 and 9.1] and Lemma 2.2 below).

Theorem 1.1 immediately follows from the following more complete result.

THEOREM 1.5. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ be fixed. Then*

- (i) $A_\mu \subset B_{\mu, p}$ if and only if there is a polynomial $Q (\not\equiv 0)$ in $L_p(d\mu)$.
- (ii) $B_{\mu, p} \subset A_\mu$ if and only if either
 - (a) the only polynomial in $L_p(d\mu)$ is the zero polynomial, or
 - (b) there exists a polynomial $Q (\not\equiv 0)$ in $L_p(d\mu)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma_n(|Q|^p d\mu)]^{1/n} = 2, \tag{1.9}$$

which, in turn, is equivalent to (1.9) holding for every $Q (\not\equiv 0)$ in $L_p(d\mu)$.

2. Proofs of results for $0 < p < \infty$

We begin with the following.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. To establish (i), suppose first that $A_\mu \subset B_{\mu, p}$. Then by considering polynomial approximants to a non-polynomial analytic function (say, $f(x) = e^x$), it is easy to construct a polynomial $Q (\not\equiv 0) \in L_p(d\mu)$.

In the converse direction, we shall show that for every polynomial $Q \not\equiv 0$ and function $f \in A_\mu$ there are polynomials $P_n \in \Pi_n$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(f - P_n) Q^{-1}\|_\infty^{1/n} \leq \alpha(f) < 1, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the supremum norm on $[-1, 1]$ and $\alpha(f)$ is defined in (1.6). That $f \in B_{\mu, p}$ then follows from

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - P_n\|_{L_p(d\mu)}^{1/n} \leq \alpha(f) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-1}^1 |Q|^p d\mu \right)^{1/pn} = \alpha(f). \tag{2.2}$$

Consequently our proof also shows that $\beta(f)_{\mu, p} \leq \alpha(f)$ whenever $A_\mu = B_{\mu, p}$.

To establish (2.1) we note that by Bernstein's formula (1.5), which holds for $p = \infty$ and $d\mu(x) = dx$, there exist polynomials $q_n(x) \in \Pi_n$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - q_n\|_\infty^{1/n} \leq \alpha(f). \tag{2.3}$$

It follows easily from (2.3) that the sequences of derivatives also satisfy

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f^{(j)} - q_n^{(j)}\|_\infty^{1/n} \leq \alpha(f), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.4}$$

Consequently, by subtracting a suitable Hermite interpolant of $f - q_n$ we can construct polynomials $P_n \in \Pi_n$, $n \geq n_0$, such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - P_n\|_{\infty}^{1/n} \leq \alpha(f) \tag{2.5}$$

and $f - P_n$ vanishes at those zeros of Q (counting multiplicity) that lie on $[-1, 1]$. A standard application of Bernstein's inequality (cf. [1; 7, 2.13.27]) then implies that for the supremum norm on the ellipse

$$\Gamma_{\rho}: [2x/(\rho + \rho^{-1})]^2 + [2y/(\rho - \rho^{-1})]^2 = 1 \tag{2.6}$$

(cf. (1.6)) we have, for every $1 < \rho < 1/\alpha(f)$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - P_n\|_{\Gamma_{\rho}}^{1/n} \leq \alpha(f)\rho.$$

Hence, for ρ close to 1,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(f - P_n)Q^{-1}\|_{\Gamma_{\rho}}^{1/n} \leq \alpha(f)\rho. \tag{2.7}$$

But, as $(f - P_n)Q^{-1}$ is analytic inside Γ_{ρ} for ρ close to 1, the maximum principle implies that (2.7) holds on $[-1, 1]$. Thus, on letting $\rho \rightarrow 1$, we get (2.1). This completes the proof of statement (i).

The basis of the proof of statement (ii) of Theorem 1.5 is the following.

LEMMA 2.1. $B_{\mu,p} \subset A_{\mu}$ if and only if the following condition holds:

$$D(d\mu, p): \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{\substack{P_n \in \Pi_n \\ P_n \neq 0}} \|P_n\|_{\infty} / \|P_n\|_{L_p(d\mu)} \right]^{1/n} \leq 1.$$

Proof. Suppose that $D(d\mu, p)$ holds and $f \in B_{\mu,p}$. Let $p_n \in \Pi_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, satisfy

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - p_n\|_{L_p(d\mu)}^{1/n} = \beta(f)_{\mu,p} < 1. \tag{2.8}$$

Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|p_n - p_{n-1}\|_{L_p(d\mu)}^{1/n} \leq \beta(f)_{\mu,p},$$

and so, by $D(d\mu, p)$,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|p_n - p_{n-1}\|_{\infty}^{1/n} \leq \beta(f)_{\mu,p}.$$

Hence the p_n converge geometrically in the uniform norm to some function g which, by Bernstein's theorem, must be analytic on $[-1, 1]$. Since (2.8) implies that $f - p_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ $d\mu$ -a.e. for some subsequence $\{n_k\}$, then $f = g$ $d\mu$ -a.e., and so $f \in A_{\mu}$.

Now suppose that $B_{\mu,p} \subset A_{\mu}$ and assume to the contrary that for some $Q_{n_k} \in \Pi_{n_k}$ and $\beta > 1$,

$$\|Q_{n_k}\|_{\infty} / \|Q_{n_k}\|_{L_p(d\mu)} > \beta^{n_k}, \quad n_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

On squaring the Q_{n_k} and dividing by $\beta^{-n_k/2} \|Q_{n_k}\|_{\infty}^2$ we get a sequence of non-negative polynomials $P_{n_k} \in \Pi_{2n_k}$ satisfying

$$\|P_{n_k}\|_{\infty} = \gamma^{n_k} \quad \text{but} \quad \|P_{n_k}\|_{L_p(d\mu)} < \gamma^{-n_k}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\gamma := \sqrt{\beta} > 1$. Setting $f := \sum P_{n_k}$, we have

$$E_n(f)_{L_p(d\mu)} = O(\gamma^{-n/2}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

that is, $f \in B_{\mu,p}$. But observe that for every constant K the set

$$\{x \in [-1, 1] \mid f(x) > K\}$$

contains an interval I_{K^*} . If we had $f \in A_\mu$, then f would be bounded by some K^* $d\mu$ -a.e.; hence we would have $\mu(I_{K^*}) = 0$. But this is impossible since if c is the centre of I_{K^*} and $Q(\not\equiv 0) \in L_p(d\mu)$, then one would get $Q(x)|x-c|^{-1} \in B_{\mu,p}$ (cf. [11, p. 75]) while $Q(x)|x-c|^{-1} \notin A_\mu$ (recall that $d\mu$ has infinite support) contradicting $B_{\mu,p} \subset A_\mu$. Lemma 2.1 is now proved.

Notice that the first part of the proof of Lemma 2.1 yields via Bernstein's theorem that if $A_\mu = B_{\mu,p}$, then $\alpha(f) \leq \beta(f)_{\mu,p}$. This and the remark following (2.2) justify Corollary 1.3.

We now return to the proof of statement (ii) of Theorem 1.5. First suppose that $d\mu$ is finite. It is easy to see that condition $D(d\mu, p)$ for $p = 2$ is the same as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_n(d\mu)\|_\infty^{1/n} = 1, \quad (2.10)$$

where $R_n(d\mu)$ are the orthonormal polynomials with respect to $d\mu$ (simply expand any P_n in terms of the R_n). Moreover, as we shall show in Lemma 2.2 below, relation (2.10) is equivalent to $[\gamma_n(d\mu)]^{1/n} \rightarrow 2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence for finite $d\mu$ all we have to show (cf. also the completion of the proof in the general case below) is that $D(d\mu, p)$ is the same condition for every p . Let $0 < p < q < \infty$. By Hölder's inequality, $D(d\mu, p)$ implies $D(d\mu, q)$. Now assume $D(d\mu, q)$. Then for every $P_n \in \Pi_n$,

$$\|P_n\|_{L_q(d\mu)} \leq \|P_n\|_\infty^{1-p/q} \|P_n\|_{L_p(d\mu)}^{p/q},$$

which implies that

$$\|P_n\|_\infty / \|P_n\|_{L_p(d\mu)} \leq [\|P_n\|_\infty / \|P_n\|_{L_q(d\mu)}]^{q/p}.$$

Together with $D(d\mu, q)$ this last inequality yields $D(d\mu, p)$.

Finally, let $d\mu$ be arbitrary. By Lemma 2.1, we need to show that $D(d\mu, p)$ is equivalent to the statement (a) or (b) of part (ii) of Theorem 1.5. Clearly (a) implies $D(d\mu, p)$ and so, from the discussion for finite measures, it suffices to prove that the conditions $D(d\mu, p)$ and $D(|Q|^p d\mu, p)$ are equivalent for every polynomial $Q(\not\equiv 0) \in L_p(d\mu)$. That $D(|Q|^p d\mu, p)$ implies $D(d\mu, p)$ is obvious. Now suppose that $Q \in \Pi_s$. One can easily see that, for $P_n \in \Pi_n$,

$$\|P_n\|_\infty \leq Cn^{2s} \|P_n Q\|_\infty$$

for some constant C independent of n . Hence, if we assume $D(d\mu, p)$, we get for $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n\|_\infty &\leq Cn^{2s} \|P_n Q\|_\infty \leq CC_\varepsilon n^{2s} (1 + \varepsilon)^{n+s} \|P_n Q\|_{L_p(d\mu)} \\ &= CC_\varepsilon n^{2s} (1 + \varepsilon)^{n+s} \|P_n\|_{L_p(|Q|^p d\mu)}, \end{aligned}$$

and this implies $D(|Q|^p d\mu, p)$. The proof of Theorem 1.5 is now complete.

In the above proof we used the equivalence given in the following.

LEMMA 2.2. For a finite Borel measure dv on $[-1, 1]$, the two statements

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_n(dv)\|_\infty^{1/n} = 1 \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma_n(dv)]^{1/n} = 2 \quad (2.12)$$

are equivalent.

This is more or less a known result, but for completeness we present a proof.

Proof. First suppose that for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|R_n(d\nu)\|_\infty \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^n$$

for all large n . Then, by Bernstein's inequality [1], we have

$$|R_n(d\nu, x)| \leq |T_n(x)|(1 + \varepsilon)^n \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 1, n \geq n_\varepsilon, \quad (2.13)$$

where $T_n(x) := \cos(n \arccos x)$ is the n th Chebyshev polynomial. Hence, by letting $x \rightarrow \infty$ and making use of the fact that $T_n(x)$ has 2^{n-1} as its leading coefficient, we get

$$\gamma_n(d\nu) \leq 2^{n-1}(1 + \varepsilon)^n, \quad n \geq n_\varepsilon$$

and so

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma_n(d\nu)]^{1/n} \leq 2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\gamma_n(d\nu)]^{1/n} \geq 2$$

is always true if $\text{supp}(d\nu) \subset [-1, 1]$ (see [3, Lemma 2.1]). These facts prove that (2.11) implies (2.12).

Conversely, (2.12) implies that $d\nu$ is arcsine [3, Theorem 1.1] and hence (cf. [4, Lemma 3.1])

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_n(d\nu)/\gamma_n(d\nu)\|_\infty^{1/n} = \frac{1}{2},$$

which, together with (2.12), gives (2.11).

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Obviously, for $0 < p, q < \infty$ there is a non-trivial polynomial Q^* in $L_p(d\mu)$ if and only if there is one, say Q^{**} , in $L_q(d\mu)$. We then have $Q^*Q^{**} \in L_p(d\mu) \cap L_q(d\mu)$ and since the $Q(\neq 0)$ in Theorem 1.1 is arbitrary in $L_p(d\mu)$, we get that the condition of Theorem 1.1 is simultaneously satisfied or not for p and q , which proves the corollary.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. If $d\mu$ has no carrier (that is, a set $E \subset [-1, 1]$ with $\mu(E) = \mu([-1, 1])$) of capacity zero, then the conditions in Corollary 1.4 and Theorem 1.1 are equivalent by [10, Theorem 1]. Now if $d\mu$ is not singular with respect to Lebesgue measure, then every carrier has positive Lebesgue measure and hence positive capacity (cf. [8, Theorem III.10]). Thus the corollary follows.

3. Supremum norm results

Having settled the cases when $p < \infty$, we now turn to $p = \infty$. Since for $L_\infty(d\mu)$ the norm involves only the support of $d\mu$ and not $d\mu$ itself, it is appropriate to reformulate the Problem of Section 1 in the following way.

Let $w \geq 0$ be a Lebesgue measurable function on $[-1, 1]$ that is positive on a set of positive measure, and let

$$E_n(f)_w^* := \inf_{p_n \in \Pi_n} \|w(f - p_n)\|_{L_\infty}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\beta(f)_w^* := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [E_n(f)_w^*]^{1/n}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$B_w^* := \{f \mid \beta(f)_w^* < 1\}. \quad (3.3)$$

The reformulated problem is the characterization of those w for which $A_w^* = B_w^*$, where A_w^* stands for the set of functions that coincide $w(x) dx$ -a.e. with a function analytic on $[-1, 1]$.

Just as in Section 2, one can show that $A_w^* \subset B_w^*$ if and only if there is a non-trivial polynomial Q such that $Qw \in L_\infty$; and $B_w^* \subset A_w^*$ if and only if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{\substack{P_n \in \Pi_n \\ P_n \neq 0}} \|P_n\|_\infty / \|wP_n\|_{L_\infty} \right]^{1/n} \leq 1. \tag{3.4}$$

However, as we show in the following example, the case when $p = \infty$ differs from $p < \infty$ in that (3.4) is no longer equivalent to the condition appearing in Theorem 1.1.

EXAMPLE. There exists a set $E \subset [-1, 1]$ such that for the characteristic function χ_E for E we have $A_{\chi_E}^* = B_{\chi_E}^*$ but

$$[\gamma_n(\chi_E)]^{1/n} \rightarrow 2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\gamma_n(\chi_E)$ is the leading coefficient of the n th orthonormal polynomial belonging to the measure $\chi_E(x) dx$.

CONSTRUCTION. For a natural number n and $\delta < 1/n^3$ set

$$E_{n,\delta} := \bigcup_{s=-n^3+1}^{n^3-1} \left[\frac{s}{n^3} - \delta, \frac{s}{n^3} + \delta \right]. \tag{3.6}$$

It is obvious from Markoff's inequality

$$\|P'_n\|_\infty \leq n^2 \|P_n\|_\infty, \quad P_n \in \Pi_n,$$

that for any choice of numbers $0 < \delta_n < 1/n^3$, the set

$$E := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n,\delta_n} \tag{3.7}$$

has the property

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sup_{\substack{P_n \in \Pi_n \\ P_n \neq 0}} \|P_n\|_\infty / \|P_n\|_E \right] \leq 1, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_E$ denotes the L_∞ -norm on E . Hence by the remarks made above, $A_{\chi_E}^* = B_{\chi_E}^*$.

Next we show that for an appropriate sequence $\{\delta_n\}$, the assertion of (3.5) is also true. We require that

$$\delta_{n+1} < \frac{1}{2}\delta_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{3.9}$$

and inductively define the δ_n and two sequences $\{n_k\}$ and $P_{n_k} \in \Pi_{n_k}$ as follows.

Let $C(F)$ denote the (inner) logarithmic capacity of the Borel set F (see, for example [8, p. 55]). We need the following elementary properties (cf. [8, Chapter III, Sections 2, 3]).

- (a) If $T: x' = ax + b$ is a linear transformation and $E_1 = T(E)$, then $C(E_1) = |a|C(E)$.
- (b) $C(F_1) \leq C(F_2)$ whenever $F_1 \subset F_2$.
- (c) $C([a, b]) = \frac{1}{4}(b - a)$.
- (d) If $F_n \subset [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $F = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$, then

$$\log C(F) \leq \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/\log C(F_n) \right]^{-1}.$$

One can easily justify from these properties that the following construction can be carried out.

Let δ_1 be so small that

$$C(E_{1,\delta_1}) < \frac{1}{4}. \tag{3.10}$$

By a well-known result of Szegő [6] (cf. [8, Theorem III.26]), if $F \subset [-1, 1]$ is closed, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\inf_{p_{n-1} \in \Pi_{n-1}} \|x^n - p_{n-1}\|_F \right]^{1/n} = C(F).$$

Hence there is $n_1 > 1$ and a monic polynomial $P_{n_1} \in \Pi_{n_1}$ such that

$$\|P_{n_1}\|_{E_{1,\delta_1}} < 4^{-n_1}$$

which implies that

$$\|P_{n_1}\|_{L_2(E_{1,\delta_1})} < 4^{-n_1}.$$

If δ_2 is sufficiently small, then (3.9) implies that for the set E in (3.7) we have

$$\|P_{n_1}\|_{L_2(E)} < 4^{-n_1}. \tag{3.11}$$

In fact we can choose $\delta_2, \dots, \delta_{n_1}$ so small that with $E_m := \bigcup_{n=1}^m E_{n,\delta_n}$ both (3.11) and

$$C(E_{n_1}) < \frac{1}{4}$$

hold (cf. (3.10)). Then there is $n_2 > n_1$ and a monic $P_{n_2} \in \Pi_{n_2}$ such that

$$\|P_{n_2}\|_{E_{n_1}} < 4^{-n_2}.$$

If we choose $\delta_{n_1+1}, \dots, \delta_{n_2}$ sufficiently small, then

$$\|P_{n_2}\|_{L_2(E)} < 4^{-n_2}$$

and

$$C(E_{n_2}) < \frac{1}{4}$$

hold. By continuing in this manner we obtain a sequence of monic polynomials $P_{n_k} \in \Pi_{n_k}$ such that

$$\|P_{n_k}\|_{L_2(E)} < 4^{-n_k}, \quad n_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

But this means (cf. [5, p. 50]) that

$$[\gamma_{n_k}(X_E)]^{1/n_k} > 4;$$

that is, (3.5) holds.

In order to formulate a positive theorem, we call a Borel set $E \subset [-1, 1]$ of *stable capacity* $\frac{1}{2}$ (cf. [9, 3]) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$C(E \setminus F) > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$$

whenever $m(F) < \delta$ (m denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}). Noting that $C([-1, 1]) = \frac{1}{2}$, we now prove the following (compare [3, Theorem 1.3a]).

THEOREM 3.1. *$A_w^* = B_w^*$ for every bounded w that is positive almost everywhere on $E \subset [-1, 1]$ if and only if E has stable capacity $\frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. Since w is bounded, $A_w^* \subset B_w^*$ is immediate from Bernstein's theorem (cf. (1.5) for $p = \infty$), so we have only to consider $B_w^* \subset A_w^*$.

If E has stable capacity $\frac{1}{2}$ and $w(x) > 0$ almost everywhere on E , then $d\mu(x) := w(x) dx$ is an arcsine measure (see [3, Theorem 1.3a]) and so, by Corollary 1.4 we have $B_{\mu,1} \subset A_w^*$ and thus $B_w^* \subset A_w^*$.

Now suppose that E does not have stable capacity $\frac{1}{2}$. Then there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $\delta > 0$ there is $F_\delta \subset E$ with $m(F_\delta) < \delta$ and $C(E \setminus F_\delta) < \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$. Since $C(E \setminus F_\delta)$ denotes inner capacity, there is a set F'_δ with $m(F'_\delta) < \delta$ such that $E \setminus F'_\delta$ is compact and

$$C(E \setminus F'_\delta) < \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon.$$

By applying the previously mentioned theorem of Szegő to the sets $E \setminus F'_\delta$ and $[-1, 1]$, we get that there is a monic polynomial $P_\delta \in \Pi_{n(\delta)}$ of degree $n(\delta) > 1/\delta$ such that

$$[\|P_\delta\|_\infty / \|P_\delta\|_{E \setminus F'_\delta}]^{1/n(\delta)} > 1/(1-2\varepsilon). \quad (3.12)$$

Therefore, if

$$0 \leq w(x) \leq 1, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

and

$$w(x) < (1-2\varepsilon)^{n(\delta)} \quad \text{for } x \in F'_\delta, \quad (3.13)$$

then we have from (3.12) that

$$(\|P_\delta\|_\infty / \|wP_\delta\|_E)^{1/n(\delta)} > 1/(1-2\varepsilon). \quad (3.14)$$

Since $m(F'_\delta) < \delta$, almost every x belongs to at most finitely many of the sets $F'_{2^{-n}}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence we can define a weight w ($0 \leq w \leq 1$) that is positive almost everywhere on E , zero outside E and for which (3.13) holds for every $\delta = 2^{-n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. But then (3.14) shows that (3.4) is not true and hence $B_w^* \not\subset A_w^*$.

Let us finally mention that Theorem 3.1 extends to any $\infty > p > 0$. Let $E \subseteq [-1, 1]$ be a Borel set. Then $A_\mu = B_{\mu,p}$ for every finite measure μ for which $\mu'(x) > 0$ almost everywhere on E if and only if E has stable capacity $\frac{1}{2}$. This follows from [3, Theorem 1.3a] and Corollary 1.4.

References

1. S. N. BERNSTEIN, *Leçons sur les propriétés extrémales et la meilleure approximation des fonctions analytiques d'une variable réelle* (Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1926).
2. S. N. BERNSTEIN, 'On the best approximation of continuous functions by means of polynomials of a given degree', *Izv. Akad. Nauk UzSSR* 1 (1952); 2 (1954). (*Collected works* Vol. 1 (1972) 11–104) (Russian).
3. P. ERDŐS and G. FREUD, 'On orthogonal polynomials with regularly distributed zeros', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 29 (1974) 521–537.
4. P. ERDŐS and P. TURÁN, 'On interpolation III', *Ann. of Math.* 41 (1940) 510–555.
5. G. FREUD, *Orthogonal polynomials* (Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1971).
6. G. SZEGŐ, 'Bemerkung zur einer Arbeit von Herrn Fekete "Über die Verteilung der Wurzeln bei gewisser algebraischen Gleichungen mit ganzzahligen Koeffizienten"', *Gábor Szegő collected papers* Vol. 1 (ed. R. A. Askey, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981) 637–642.
7. A. F. TIMAN, *Theory of approximation of functions of a real variable*, International Series of Monographs in Pure and Applied Mathematics 34 (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1963).
8. M. TSUJI, *Potential theory in modern function theory* (Maruzen, Tokyo, 1959).
9. J. L. ULLMAN, 'On the regular behaviour of orthogonal polynomials', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 24 (1972) 119–148.
10. J. L. ULLMAN, 'A survey of exterior asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials associated with a finite interval and a study of the case of the general weight measure', Proceedings, N.A.T.O. Advanced Study Institute on Approximation Theory (Spline Functions) and Applications, held in St. John's, Newfoundland, August 22–September 2, 1983 (1984) 1–15.
11. J. L. WALSH, *Interpolation and approximation by rational functions in the complex domain*, Colloquium Publications 20 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1960).

Institute for Constructive Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
University of South Florida
Tampa, Florida 33620
USA

Bolyai Institute
Szeged
Aradi V. tere 1
6720
Hungary

