SOME EXAMPLES IN APPROXIMATION ON THE UNIT DISK BY RECIPROCALS OF POLYNOMIALS

bу

A.L. Levin an
Department of Mathematics
Everyman's University
16 Klausner St. P.O.B. 39328
Tel-Aviv 61392
ISRAEL

E.B. Saff**
Institute for Constructive Mathematics
Department of Mathematics
University of South Florida
Tampa, FL 33620

Abstract. This paper is a continuation of the authors' study of approximation by reciprocals of polynomials. A Jackson-type theorem for such approximants is established for a certain class of functions f analytic and nonzero in the disk |z| < 1 and continuous on $|z| \le 1$. Furthermore, we obtain the sharp degree of convergence for reciprocal polynomial approximation on $|z| \le 1$ to functions f that are analytic on $|z| \le 1$, nonzero in |z| < 1, and vanish somewhere on |z| = 1.

1. Statement of results.

In our papers [1], [2] we investigated the rate of approximation of real and complex-valued functions on [-1,1] by reciprocals of polynomials. Here we extend some of these results to the case of

The research of this author was conducted while visiting the Institute for Constructive Mathematics at the University of South Florida.

The research of this author was supported, in part, by the National Science Foundation.

AMS subject classification 41A20, 41A17

As appeared in the Approximation Theory, Tampa, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1287, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1987, pp.70-82.

approximation on the unit disk of the complex plane C.

For any continuous function f in the closed unit disk $D = \{z\colon |z|\le 1\}$, let $E_{on}(f;D)$ denote the error in best uniform approximation of f on D by reciprocals of polynomials of degree $\le n$. J.L. Walsh [4] proved that $E_{on}(f;D)\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ if and only if the continuous function f is analytic in the open disk |z|<1 and does not vanish there. We denote the set of all such functions by $A_{o}(D)$. Under an additional assumption on f we can prove the following analogue of Jackson's theorem:

Theorem 1. Let $f \in A_0(D)$ and suppose that the set $\{f(z): z \in D\}$.

lies in a half-plane $Re(z\overline{z}_0) \ge 0$, for some z_0 , $|z_0| = 1$. Then there exists a constant M (independent of f and z_0) such that

(1.1)
$$E_{on}(f;D) \leq M\omega(f;n^{-1}), \quad n = 1,2,3,...,$$

where $\omega(f;\delta)$ denotes the modulus of continuity of $f(e^{i\theta})$ on $[-\pi,\pi]$.

Example. It is easy to see that any single-valued branch of the function $(1-z)^{\alpha}$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1 provided $0 < \alpha \le 1$. It follows that there exists a constant c such that

(1.2)
$$E_{on}((1-z)^{\alpha};D) \le cn^{-\alpha}$$
, $0 < \alpha \le 1$; $n = 1,2,3,...$

It can be also shown that the estimate (1.2) is precise in the sense that there exists a constant d>0 such that

(1.3)
$$E_{op}((1-z)^{\alpha};D) \ge dn^{-\alpha}, \quad 0 < \alpha \le 1, \quad n = 1,2,3,...$$

From (1.3) it follows that the estimate given in Theorem 1 is, in general, the best possible.

The asymptotic character of $E_{on}(f;D)$ can be described precisely if we assume that f is analytic in the <u>closed</u> unit disk.

Theorem 2. Let $f \in A_0(D)$ be analytic in the closed unit disk D and assume f vanishes somewhere on |z| = 1. Denote by r the smallest order of zeros of f on |z| = 1. Then there exist positive

constants A(f), B(f) such that

(1.4)
$$A(f)n^{-r} \le E_{on}(f;D) \le B(f)n^{-r}, \quad n = 1,2,3,...$$

In particular, for any positive integer r there exist positive constants A_r , B_r such that

(1.5)
$$A_r n^{-r} \le E_{on}((1-z)^r; D) \le B_r n^{-r}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

Finally, we mention the result of Walsh [4, Theorem V] that describes completely the functions for which $E_{\mbox{on}}(f;D)$ decreases exponentially.

Theorem 3 (Walsh). For any continuous function $f(\not\equiv 0)$ on D the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left[\mathbb{E}_{on}(f;D) \right]^{1/n} \le 1/R < 1$,
- (ii) f is analytic on D and meromorphic and different from zero in $D_R := \{z : |z| \le R\}$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.

By the assumption on f there exists z_0 , $|z_0| = 1$, such that

$$Re(f(z)\overline{z}_0) \ge 0, \quad z \in D.$$

Consider the function

(2.1)
$$G(z) := f(z) + Az_0 \omega(f; n^{-1}),$$

where A > 0 will be chosen later. Notice that

$$|G(z)| = |G(z)\overline{z}_{0}| = |f(z)\overline{z}_{0}| + A\omega(f;n^{-1})| \ge A\omega(f;n^{-1}), z \in D.$$

Now set

$$g(\theta) := G(e^{i\theta}), \quad -\pi \le \theta \le \pi.$$

From (2.2) it follows that

(2.3)
$$|g(\theta)| \ge A\omega(f; n^{-1}), \quad -\pi \le \theta \le \pi.$$

Furthermore,

(2.4)
$$\omega(g; n^{-1}) = \omega(f; n^{-1})$$

(recall that $\omega(f;n^{-1})$ denotes the modulus of continuity of the function $f(e^{i\,\theta})$ on $[-\pi,\pi]$).

Let $K_n(t)$ be the Jackson kernel (see Lorentz [3, p.55]). Since

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_{n}(t) dt = 1, \qquad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |t^{k}| K_{n}(t) dt = O(n^{-k}), \qquad k = 1, 2.$$

we obtain for all θ that

(2.5)
$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(\theta+t) - g(\theta)|^{k} K_{n}(t) dt \le c[\omega(g; n^{-1})]^{k}, \qquad k = 1, 2,$$

where c > 0 is an absolute constant. Now define

(2.6)
$$p_n(\theta) := \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{g(\theta+t)} K_n(t) dt.$$

It is well-known that $p_n(\theta)$ has the form $\sum_{k=-n}^n \lambda_k c_k e^{ik\theta}$, where $\sum_{-\infty}^\infty c_k e^{ik\theta}$ is the Fourier series of $1/g(\theta)$. Since $G \neq 0$ in D (by (2.2)), 1/G is analytic in |z| < 1 and consequently $c_k = 0$ for k < 0. It follows that $p_n(\theta)$ is a polynomial in $e^{i\theta}$ of degree $\leq n$. We shall use the notation $P_n(z)$ for the corresponding algebraic polynomial in z, that is, $P_n(z) = \sum_{0}^n \lambda_k c_k z^k$. Now,

$$\left|\frac{1}{g(\theta)} - p_n(\theta)\right| = \left|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} [1/g(\theta) - 1/g(\theta+t)]K_n(t)dt\right|$$

$$\leq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\left| g(\theta+t) - g(\theta) \right|}{\left| g(\theta) \right| \left| g(\theta+t) \right|} K_{n}(t) dt$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\left| g(\theta) \right| A \omega(f; n^{-1})} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| g(\theta+t) - g(\theta) \right| K_{n}(t) dt \qquad (by (2.3))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\left| g(\theta) \right| A \omega(f; n^{-1})} c \omega(f; n^{-1}) \qquad (by (2.5), (2.4))$$

$$= \frac{c}{\left| g(\theta) \right| A} .$$

The choice

$$(2.7) \qquad A := 2c$$

therefore yields

(2.8)
$$\left|1-g(\theta)p_{n}(\theta)\right| \leq 1/2$$
, $-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi$,

which implies that

(2.9)
$$|g(\theta)p_n(\theta)| \ge 1/2$$
, $-\pi \le \theta \le \pi$.

From (2.8) we deduce, by the maximum principle, that $\left|1-G(z)P_n(z)\right| \le 1/2 \quad \text{for} \quad |z| \le 1 \quad \text{and therefore}$

$$|G(z)P_n(z)| \ge 1/2$$
, $|z| \le 1$.

In particular, $P_n(z) \neq 0$ in D and applying the maximum principle again we conclude that

(2.10)
$$\max_{|z| \le 1} |G(z) - 1/P_n(z)| = \max_{-\pi \le \theta \le \pi} |g(\theta) - 1/P_n(\theta)|.$$

Now.

$$\left| g(\theta) - 1/p_n(\theta) \right| = \left| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{g(\theta + t) - g(\theta)}{g(\theta)g(\theta + t)} \cdot \frac{g(\theta)}{p_n(\theta)} \cdot K_n(t) dt \right|$$

$$\leq 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(\theta+t) - g(\theta)| \cdot \left| \frac{g(\theta)}{g(\theta+t)} \right| \cdot K_{n}(t) dt \quad (by (2.9))$$

$$\leq 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(\theta+t) - g(\theta)| K_{n}(t) dt + 2 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{|g(\theta+t) - g(\theta)|^{2}}{|g(\theta+t)|} K_{n}(t) dt$$

$$\leq 2c\omega(f:n^{-1}) + \frac{2}{2c\omega(f:n^{-1})} c[\omega(f:n^{-1})]^{2}$$

$$\qquad (by (2.5), (2.4) \text{ and } (2.7))$$

$$= (2c + 1)\omega(f:n^{-1}).$$

From (2.10) and from the definition (2.1) of G it now follows (see also (2.7)) that

$$\max_{|z| \le 1} |f(z) - 1/P_n(z)| \le (4c + 1)\omega(f; n^{-1}).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

To establish the upper bound in (1.4), we first prove that, for each positive integer r,

(3.1)
$$E_{on}((1-z)^r;D) \le B_r n^{-r}$$
, $n = 1,2,3,...$

Define

(3.2)
$$p(z) := \left[\frac{1 - Q(z)^r}{1-z}\right]^r, \quad n \ge 2.$$

where

$$Q(z) := \frac{1-z^n}{n(1-z)}$$
.

Since

$$Q(z) = \frac{1-z^n}{n(1-z)} = 1 - \frac{n-1}{2}(1-z) + O((1-z)^2).$$

p(z) is a <u>polynomial</u> (of degree $(n-1)r^2 - r$) satisfying $p(1) = (r(n-1)/2)^r$. Also,

(3.3)
$$|Q(z)| = \frac{1}{n} |1+z+\cdots+z^{n-1}| < 1 \text{ for } |z| \le 1, z \ne 1.$$

It follows that $p(z) \neq 0$ in D and consequently it suffices to estimate $\left| (1-z)^{\Gamma} - 1/p(z) \right|$ on |z| = 1. Since p(z) has real coefficients we may restrict ourselves to the case $z = e^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$.

Case 1. $\pi^2/2n \le \theta \le \pi$.

In this case

$$n|1-z| = 2n \sin(\theta/2) \ge 2n \sin(\pi^2/4n) \ge 2n \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{4n} = \pi.$$

so that

$$(3.4) |Q(z)| = \left| \frac{1-z^n}{n(1-z)} \right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi}.$$

Now write

(3.5)
$$(1-z)^{r} - \frac{1}{p(z)} = (1-z)^{r} \frac{\left[(1-Q(z)^{r})^{r} - 1 \right]}{\left[1-Q(z)^{r} \right]^{r}}$$

$$= \frac{-Q(z)^{r} (1-z)^{r}}{\left[1-Q(z)^{r} \right]^{r}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left[1-Q(z)^{r} \right]^{k}.$$

Using (3.3), (3.4) and the obvious inequality $|Q(z)(1-z)| \le 2/n$, we obtain

$$|(1-z)^{r} - \frac{1}{p(z)}| \le \frac{2^{r} n^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} 2^{k}}{(1 - (2/\pi)^{r})^{r}} = c_{r} n^{-r}$$

where c depends only on r.

Case 2. $2\epsilon/n \le \theta < \pi^2/2n$, for some $0 \le \epsilon \le 1$. In this case $\theta \le 2\pi/n$ and since the function $(\sin(n\theta/2))/\sin(\theta/2)$ is decreasing for $0 \le \theta \le 2\pi/n$, we obtain

$$|Q(z)| = \left|\frac{1-z^n}{n(1-z)}\right| = \left|\frac{\sin(n\theta/2)}{n\sin(\theta/2)}\right| \le \frac{\sin \epsilon}{n\sin(\epsilon/n)}.$$

Using the Maclaurin development for the sine function one can easily show that

$$\frac{\sin \epsilon}{n \sin(\epsilon/n)} < 1 - \epsilon^2/10 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < \epsilon < 1, \quad n \ge 2,$$

and therefore

$$|Q(z)|^r \le (1 - \epsilon^2/10)^r \le 1 - \epsilon^2/10$$

which implies

$$|1 - Q(z)^r| \ge \epsilon^2/10$$
 for $0 < \epsilon < 1$, $n \ge 2$.

Using this estimate together with (3.3) and $|Q(z)(1-z)| \le 2/n$ we obtain from (3.5) that

$$\left| (1-z)^{r} - \frac{1}{p(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2^{r} n^{-r} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} 2^{k}}{(\epsilon^{2}/10)^{r}} =: c_{r} \epsilon^{-2r} n^{-r}.$$

where c_r depends only on r.

Case 3. $0 < \theta < 2\epsilon/n$, $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough.

In this case

$$|1-z| < 2\epsilon/n$$

so that

Next, we write p(z) in (3.2) in the form

$$(3.8) p(z) = \left[\frac{1 - Q(z)}{1 - z}\right]^{r} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} Q(z)^{k}\right]^{r},$$

where

$$Q(z) = \frac{1-z^n}{n(1-z)} = 1 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=2}^{n} {n \choose j} (z-1)^{j-1}.$$

Since

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=2}^{n}\binom{n}{j}(z-1)^{j-1}\right| \leq \sum_{j=2}^{n}\frac{1}{j!}(2\epsilon)^{j-1}$$
 (by (3.6))
$$= 2\epsilon \sum_{j=2}^{n}\frac{1}{j!}(2\epsilon)^{j-2}$$

 $\leq 2\epsilon e$, if $\epsilon \leq 1/2$,

we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} Q(z)^k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r=1 \\ r+O(\epsilon) & \text{if } r \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

where O(ϵ) depends only on r. It follows that there exists ϵ_r , 0 < ϵ_r < 1/2 (that depends only on r), such that

(3.9)
$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} Q(z)^k \right| \ge 1 , \text{ provided } 0 < \theta < 2\varepsilon_r/n.$$

From (3.7), (3.8), and (3.9) we obtain for $z=e^{i\theta}$, 0 $<\theta<2\epsilon_r/n$,

(3.10)
$$|(1-z)^{r} - 1/p(z)| \leq |1-z|^{r} + |1/p(z)|$$

$$\leq n^{-r} + \left| \frac{1-z}{1-Q(z)} \right|^{r}$$

It therefore suffices to show that

$$(3.11) \qquad \left| \frac{1-z}{1-Q(z)} \right| \leq cn^{-1} \qquad , \quad n \geq 2.$$

or

$$\left| \frac{n^2 (1-z)^2}{n(1-z) - (1-z^n)} \right|^2 \le c^2 , \quad n \ge 2.$$

where c > 0 is an absolute constant. Putting $z = e^{i\theta}$ we have

(3.12)
$$|n^2(1-z)^2|^2 = 16n^4 \sin^4(\theta/2) \le \theta^4 n^4$$
.

Next, for $0 < \theta < 2\epsilon_n/n$, we have

 $> 16n(2/\pi)^4(\theta/2)(n\theta/2)[(n-1)\theta/4]^2$.

$$\begin{split} & \left| n(1-z) - (1-z^n) \right|^2 = 2n(n-1) + 2 - 2n(n-1)\cos\theta + 2n\cos n\theta \\ & - 2\cos n\theta - 2n\cos(n-1)\theta \end{split}$$

$$& = 4n(n-1)\sin^2(\theta/2) + 4\sin^2(n\theta/2) - 4n\sin(\theta/2)\sin[(n-1/2)\theta]$$

$$& = 4n^2\sin^2(\theta/2) + 4\sin^2(n\theta/2) - 8n\sin(\theta/2)\sin(n\theta/2)\cos[(n-1)\theta/2]$$

$$& = 4\{[n\sin(\theta/2) - \sin(n\theta/2)]^2 + 4n\sin(\theta/2)\sin(n\theta/2)\sin^2[(n-1)\theta/4] \}$$

$$& \geq 16n\sin(\theta/2)\sin(n\theta/2)\sin(n\theta/2)\sin^2[(n-1)\theta/4] \end{split}$$

Hence

(3.13)
$$|n(1-z) - (1-z^n)|^2 \ge (4/\pi^4)\theta^4 n^2 (n-1)^2$$
.

The inequalities (3.12), (3.13) yield (3.11) with $c = \pi^2$. Hence,

$$|(1-z)^{r} - 1/p(z)| \le (1+\pi^{2r})n^{-r}, \quad 0 < \theta < 2\epsilon_{r}/n.$$

On choosing $\epsilon = \epsilon_r$ in Case 2 we conclude that

$$\max_{|z| \le 1} |(1-z)^r - 1/p(z)| \le c_r^{-r}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

where $c_r > 0$ depends only on r. Using a standard technique, the last inequality implies (3.1) for some constant B_r depending only on r (recall that p(z) is of degree $(n-1)r^2 - r$).

To prove the upper bound in (1.4) we write

$$f(z) = g(z) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{v}{1} \\ j=1 \end{bmatrix} (z-z_j)^{r_j}$$
.

where z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n are the distinct zeros of f on |z| = 1 and g

is analytic in the closed disk $|D:|_{\mathbf{Z}}| \le 1$ and different from zero there. We just proved that

$$E_{on}((z-z_j)^{r_j};D) \leq B_j n^{-r_j}.$$

Also, by Theorem 3, there exist constants A > 0 and 0 < ρ < 1 such that

$$E_{op}(g;D) \leq A\rho^n$$
 , $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Applying Lemma 4.2 in [1] we conclude that for some constants $A_0 > 0$ and $0 < \rho_0 < 1$,

$$E_{on}(f;D) \le const(f) \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} n^{-r} j + A_{o} \rho_{o}^{n}$$

 $\le const(f) \cdot n^{-r}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, ...$

where $r = \min_{j} r_{j}$.

Next we prove the lower bound in (1.5). Pick a polynomial $P_n(z)$ of degree $\le n$ such that

(3.14)
$$\|(1-z)^{r} - 1/P_{n}(z)\|_{D} = E_{on}((1-z)^{r};D) =: E_{n}$$

and let $p_n(\theta)$ denote the trigonometric polynomial $P_n(e^{i\,\theta})$. Then

(3.15)
$$\|(1-e^{i\theta})^{r} - 1/p_{n}(\theta)\|_{[-\pi,\pi]} = E_{n}$$

and therefore

(3.16)
$$|p_n(0)| \ge 1/E_n$$
.

For $|\theta| \ge (\pi/2)(3E_n)^{1/r} =: \delta$ we have

$$\left|1-e^{i\theta}\right|^{r} = \left|2\sin(\theta/2)\right|^{r} \ge \left|2\theta/\pi\right|^{r} \ge 3E_{n}$$

Hence (by (3.15))

(3.17)
$$|p_n(\theta)| \le 1/(2E_n)$$
 for $|\theta| \ge \delta$.

It follows (see (3.16)) that $|p_n(\theta)|$ attains its maximum at some point θ_0 in $[-\delta,\delta]$. Now,

$$|\mathbf{p}_{n}(\theta_{0}) - \mathbf{p}_{n}(\delta)| \ge |\mathbf{p}_{n}(\theta_{0})| - |\mathbf{p}_{n}(\delta)| = ||\mathbf{p}_{n}|| - ||\mathbf{p}_{n}(\delta)||$$

$$\ge ||\mathbf{p}_{n}|| - 1/(2E_{n}).$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the sup norm on $[-\pi,\pi]$. Since $\|p_n\| \ge 1/E_n$ by (3.16), we obtain

(3.18)
$$|p_n(\theta_0) - p_n(\delta)| \ge ||p_n||/2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\left|\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{0}}) - \mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}}(\boldsymbol{\delta})\right| \leq \left|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{0}} - \boldsymbol{\delta}\right| \cdot \|\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}}^{+}\| \leq 2\boldsymbol{\delta}\|\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}}^{+}\| \leq 2\boldsymbol{\delta}\mathbf{n}\|\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}}\|$$

by Bernstein's inequality (see Lorentz [3, p.39]). Combining this with (3.18) we obtain that $\delta \geq 1/(4n)$. From the definition of δ it now follows that

$$E_n \ge c^r n^{-r}$$
.

where 0 < c < 1 is an absolute constant. This proves the lower bound in (1.5).

For the general case, we pick a zero of f of the smallest order $f(z) = (1-z)^{r}(a+g(z))$, where $a \neq 0$ and g(z) = O(1-z). We can find e = e(f) > 0 such that $|g(e^{i\theta})| < |a|/2$ for $|\theta| \leq e$. Using the above argument (with obvious modifications) one can show that

$$\max_{-\epsilon \le \theta \le \epsilon} |(1-e^{i\theta})^r (a+g(e^{i\theta})) - 1/p_n(\theta)| \ge c(f)n^{-r}$$

which yields the lower bound in (1.4).

References

- Levin A.L. and Saff E.B., Degree of approximation of real functions by reciprocals of real and complex polynomials, SIAM J. Math. Analysis (to appear).
- 2. Levin A.L. and Saff E.B., Jackson type theorems in approximation by reciprocals of polynomials, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics (to appear).
- Lorentz G.G., Approximation of functions, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.
- 4. Walsh J.L., On approximation to an analytic function by rational functions of best approximation, Math. Z., 38(1934), 163-176.