

H N MHASKAR & E B SAFF
A Weierstrass-type theorem for certain
weighted polynomials

After the notion of incomplete polynomials was introduced by G. G. Lorentz in 1976 [4], there has been extensive research concerning these polynomials; especially to the theory of orthogonal polynomials. The essential question which serves as the starting point for these investigations is the following:

Suppose $w(x)$ is a nonnegative weight function continuous on its support $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$. (By the support of w we mean the closure of the set where w is positive.) Assume that $w(x)$ vanishes at points of Σ ; that is,

$$Z := \{x \in \Sigma : w(x) = 0\} \neq \emptyset$$

(or in the case Σ is unbounded, then $|x| w(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$). If Π_n denotes the collection of all polynomials of degree at most n , then the sup norm over Σ of the weighted polynomial $[w(x)]^n P_n(x)$, $P_n \in \Pi_n$, actually "lives" (is attained) on some compact set $S \subseteq \Sigma \setminus Z$ which is independent of n and P_n . The problem is to determine the smallest such set S .

Using potential theoretic methods, we solved this problem for a rather general setting in [7]. As a further consequence of our results, it follows that if w satisfies certain technical conditions and if a bounded function $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the uniform limit on Σ of a sequence of weighted polynomials of the form $\{[w(x)]^n P_n(x)\}$, $P_n \in \Pi_n$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, then f is continuous on Σ and $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \Sigma \setminus S$ (see [8]). In the converse direction, no general Weierstrass-type theorem for such weighted polynomials has yet been proved. However, the following conjecture, which is stated in [9] for exponential weights, seems likely to be true.

Conjecture. Suppose that w is an admissible weight in the sense of [7]

and that $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded continuous function. Then f is a uniform limit on Σ of a sequence of weighted polynomials of the form

$\{[w(x)]^n P_n(x)\}$, $P_n \in \Pi_n$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, if and only if $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \Sigma \setminus S$.

In this conjecture, " $n \rightarrow \infty$ " means that n tends to infinity through some subsequence of the positive integers. Using the terminology of "weighted polynomial" to denote a function of the form $[w(x)]^n P_n(x)$, $P_n \in \Pi_n$, n a nonnegative integer, it is important to notice that the sum of weighted polynomials is not necessarily a weighted polynomial; however, their product is a weighted polynomial. Moreover, there is no apparent monotonicity in n for the error in best approximation by the weighted polynomials $[w(x)]^n P_n(x)$. These facts tend to complicate the analysis.

For the incomplete polynomials of Lorentz, where $\Sigma = [0, 1]$ and $w(x) = x^{\theta/(1-\theta)}$ ($0 < \theta < 1$), it is known that $S = [\theta^2, 1]$. In this case, the conjecture was proved by Saff and Varga [10], and also by M. v. Golitschek [3].

In this note, we prove the conjecture for the Laguerre weight $\exp(-x)$ on $\Sigma = [0, \infty)$ for which it is known [11] that $S = [0, 2]$. As a consequence, we shall also prove the conjecture for the Hermite weight, $w(x) = \exp(-x^2)$, $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}$, for which we have $S = [-1, 1]$ (see [5]). More precisely, we establish the following theorems.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in C[0, 2]$ with $f(2) = 0$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists an integer N and polynomial P of degree at most $N - 90\sqrt{N}$ such that

$$\max_{x \in [0, 2]} |f(x) - e^{-Nx} P(x)| < \epsilon, \quad (1)$$

$$\max_{x \in [2, \infty)} |e^{-Nx} P(x)| < \epsilon. \quad (2)$$

Theorem 2. Let $f \in C[-1, 1]$ with $f(1) = f(-1) = 0$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists an integer M and a polynomial of degree at most $M - 90\sqrt{M}$ such that

$$\max_{x \in [-1, 1]} |f(x) - \exp(-Mx^2)P(x)| < \epsilon, \quad (3)$$

$$\max_{|x| \geq 1} |\exp(-Mx^2)P(x)| < \epsilon. \quad (4)$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is similar to the one given in [10] for the case of the incomplete polynomials of Lorentz; namely, a Muntz theorem type argument [1] is utilized. Thus, we shall first approximate a suitably chosen function by weighted polynomials of the form $\exp(-nt)P_n(t)$,

$P_n \in \Pi_n$, in $L^2 [0, 2]$. Next, we use this result to approximate a continuously differentiable function on $[0, 2]$. Since such functions are dense in $C[0, 2]$, this will essentially prove Theorem 1. In contrast to the proof in [10] we shall use the generating formula for Laguerre polynomials instead of Gram determinants.

We let $\{L_k\}$ denote the orthonormal Laguerre polynomials of respective degree k :

$$\int_0^\infty L_k(x)L_j(x)\exp(-x)dx = \delta_{kj}. \quad (5)$$

For integers $n \geq 0$, $N \geq 0$, set

$$P_{n,N}^*(x) := \frac{2N}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k L_k(2Nx) \left(\frac{N-1}{N+1}\right)^k \in \Pi_n. \quad (6)$$

Lemma 3. With

$$J_N := \int_0^\infty |e^{-t} - e^{-Nt} p_{N-100[\sqrt{N}],N}^*(t)|^2 dt \quad (7)$$

we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} J_N = \frac{1}{2} e^{-4}. \quad (8)$$

Proof. For the sake of brevity of notation, we write, in this proof,

n instead of $N - 100[\sqrt{N}]$, P instead of $P_{n,N}^*$ and let $x := 2Nt$. Then

$$J_N = \int_0^\infty \left| Q(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} \exp\left[\frac{x}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)\right] \right|^2 \exp(-x) dx \quad (9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(x) &:= \frac{\sqrt{2N}}{N+1} \sum_{k=0}^n L_k(x) \left(\frac{1-N}{N+1}\right)^k \\ &= \frac{1-w}{\sqrt{2N}} \sum_{k=0}^n L_k(x) w^k \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with

$$w := \frac{1-N}{1+N} \quad (11)$$

From the generating formula for Laguerre polynomials (cf. [12, p. 101]), we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} \exp(-x) \frac{w}{1-w} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N}} \exp\left[\frac{x}{2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)\right] = \frac{1-w}{\sqrt{2N}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty L_k(x) w^k \quad (12)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} J_N &= \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty w^{2k} \frac{(1-w)^2}{2N} = \frac{(1-w)^2}{2N} \cdot \frac{w^{2n} \cdot w^2}{1-w^2} \\ &= \frac{w^2}{2} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right)^{2N} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{N}\right)^{-2N} \right]^{n/N} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Since $n/N \rightarrow 1$ and $w \rightarrow -1$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, assertion (8) follows from (13). \square

Lemma 4. Put

$$S_N(x) := e^{-Nx} S_N^*(x) := \int_x^\infty e^{-Nt} P_{N-100[\sqrt{N}],N}^*(t) dt \quad (14)$$

Then, $S_N^* \in \Pi_{N-100[\sqrt{N}]}$ and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \max_{x \in [0, 2]} |e^{-x} - e^{-2} - S_N(x)| = 0. \quad (15)$$

Proof. The fact that $S_N^* \in \Pi_{N-100}[\sqrt{N}]$ follows easily by induction.

In view of Lemma 3, the sequence

$$\left\{ \int_0^{\infty} |e^{-Nt} p_{N-100}^*[\sqrt{N}], N(t)|^2 dt \right\}$$

is bounded. Let $\delta > 0$. Using arguments similar to those in [2], [5], [8], it follows that, for each $p > 0$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{2+\delta}^{\infty} |e^{-Nt} p_{N-100}^*[\sqrt{N}], N(t)|^p dt = 0. \quad (16)$$

Let $x \in [0, 2]$, $p^*(t) := p_{N-100}^*[\sqrt{N}](t)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{-x} - e^{-2} - S_N(x)| &= \left| \int_x^{\infty} [e^{-t} - e^{-Nt} p^*(t)]^2 dt - e^{-2} \right| \\ &= \left| \int_x^{2+\delta} [e^{-t} - e^{-Nt} p^*(t)] dt + (e^{-2-\delta} - e^{-2}) - S_N(2 + \delta) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{x \in [0, 2]} |e^{-x} - e^{-2} - S_N(x)| &\leq \int_0^{2+\delta} |e^{-t} - e^{-Nt} p^*(t)| dt + |e^{-2-\delta} - e^{-2}| + |S_N(2 + \delta)| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2 + \delta} \left\{ \int_0^{2+\delta} |e^{-t} - e^{-Nt} p^*(t)|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + |e^{-2-\delta} - e^{-2}| \\ &\quad + |S_N(2 + \delta)|. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

In view of Lemma 3 and (16),

$$\int_0^{2+\delta} |e^{-t} - e^{-Nt} p^*(t)|^2 dt = J_N - \int_{2+\delta}^{\infty} |e^{-t} - e^{-Nt} p^*(t)|^2 dt \quad (19)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} e^{-4} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-4-2\delta} + o(1), \quad N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Substituting (19) into (18) and noting that (16) also implies that $S_N(2 + \delta) = o(1)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \max_{x \in [0, 2]} |e^{-x} - e^{-2} - S_N(x)| \quad (20)$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2 + \delta} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} e^{-4} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-4-2\delta} \right\}^{1/2} + |e^{-2} - e^{-2-\delta}|.$$

Finally, (15) follows on letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$. \square

Corollary 5. With S_N^* defined in (14),

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \max_{|t| \leq 1} |e^{-2t^2} - e^{-2} - e^{-2Nt^2} S_N^*(2t^2)| = 0. \quad (21)$$

Proof of Theorem 1. In view of the classical Weierstrass theorem, we assume without loss of generality that f is a polynomial. Since $f(2) = 0$, the function g defined by

$$g(x) := \frac{f(x)}{e^{-x} - e^{-2}}, \quad (22)$$

is continuous for all x . Let $\epsilon > 0$ and set

$$G := \max_{x \in [0, 3]} |g(x)|, \quad \lambda := \epsilon / (G+2), \quad \eta := \min(1, \lambda). \quad (23)$$

By the Weierstrass theorem and Lemma 4, there exist an integer N and $R \in \Pi_9[\sqrt{N}]$ such that

$$|g(x) - R(x)| < \eta, \quad x \in [0, 3] \quad (24a)$$

and

$$|e^{-x} - e^{-2} - e^{-Nx} S_N^*(x)| < \eta, \quad x \in [0, 2]. \quad (24b)$$

Then, with $P := RS_N^* \in \Pi_{N-90}[\sqrt{N}]$, we have

$$|f(x) - e^{-Nx} P(x)| \leq G \cdot \eta + (1 - e^{-2} + \eta) \cdot \eta < (G + 2)\eta \leq \epsilon \quad (25)$$

for $x \in [0, 2]$.

It remains to show that the integer N can be selected so that

$$|e^{-Nx} P(x)| < \epsilon, \quad x \in [2, \infty) \quad (26)$$

where $P = RS_N^*$ and $R \in \Pi_{9[\sqrt{N}]}$ depends on N . Let $\delta < 1$ be a fixed positive number that will be specified later. Since the sequence $\{\exp(-Nx)R S_N^*\}$ is uniformly bounded on $[0, 2]$, it follows (as with (16)) that

$$\max_{[2+\delta, \infty)} |e^{-Nx} P(x)| = \max_{[2+\delta, \infty)} |e^{-Nx} R(x) S_N^*(x)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (27)$$

For $x \in [2, 2 + \delta]$ we have from (24a) and (14)

$$|e^{-Nx} R(x) S_N^*(x)| \leq (G + 1) \int_x^\infty |e^{-Nt} P^*(t)| dt, \quad (28)$$

where $P^*(t) = P_{N-100}^*[\sqrt{N}], N(t)$. Furthermore, for $x \in [2, 2 + \delta]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^\infty |e^{-Nt} P^*(t)| dt &\leq \int_2^{2+\delta} |e^{-Nt} P^*(t)| dt + \int_{2+\delta}^\infty |e^{-Nt} P^*(t)| dt \\ &\leq \sqrt{\delta} \cdot \left\{ \int_2^{2+\delta} |e^{-Nt} P^*(t)|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad + \int_{2+\delta}^\infty |e^{-Nt} P^*(t)| dt. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Now from (16), the last integral in (29) tends to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and

and also, from Lemma 3, the sequence

$$\left\{ \int_2^{2+\delta} |e^{-Nt} p^*(t)|^2 dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

is bounded, say by the constant A . Thus

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \max_{x \in [2, 2+\delta]} \int_x^{\infty} |e^{-Nt} p^*(t)| dt \leq A \cdot \sqrt{\delta}. \quad (30)$$

Finally, using (30), (28) and (27), we see that it is possible to select δ and N so that (26) is satisfied. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. This is similar to the proof of Theorem 1. We use Corollary 5 instead of Lemma 4. \square

REFERENCES

1. Cheney, E. W., "Introduction to Approximation Theory", McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
2. Freud, G., "On polynomial approximation with respect to general weights", Springer Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 399 (1974), pp. 149-179.
3. Golitschek, M. v., "Approximation by incomplete polynomials", J. Approx. Theory, 28 (1980), pp. 155-160.
4. Lorentz, G. G., "Approximation by incomplete polynomials (problems and results)", Pade and Rational Approximations: Theory and Applications, (Edited by E. B. Saff and R. S. Varga), Academic Press, New York (1977), pp. 289-302.
5. Mhaskar, H. N., and Saff, E. B., "Extremal problems for polynomials with exponential weights", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 285 (1984), pp. 203-234.
6. Mhaskar, H. N., and Saff, E. B., "Polynomials with Laguerre weights in L^p ", Rational Approximation and Interpolation (Edited by P. R. Graves-Morris, E. B. Saff and R. S. Varga), Springer Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1105 (1984), pp. 510-523.
7. Mhaskar, H. N., and Saff, E. B., "Where does the sup norm of a weighted polynomial live?", Constructive Approximation, 1 (1985), pp. 71-91.
8. Mhaskar, H. N., and Saff, E. B., "Where does the L^p -norm of a weighted polynomial live?" (to appear).
9. Saff, E. B., "Incomplete and orthogonal polynomials", Approximation Theory IV (Edited by C. K. Chui, L. L. Schumaker and J. D. Ward), Academic Press, New York (1983), pp. 219-256.
10. Saff, E. B., and Varga, R. S., "Uniform approximation by incomplete polynomials", Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., Vol. 1, (1978), pp. 407-420.
11. Saff, E. B., and Varga, R. S., "On incomplete polynomials", II, Pacific J. Math., 92 (1981), pp. 161-172.
12. Szego, G., "Orthogonal Polynomials", (4th Edition), Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, (1967).

H. N. Mhaskar
Bowling Green State University
Bowling Green, OH 43403
and
California State University
Los Angeles, CA 90032

E. B. Saff
University of South Florida
Tampa, FL 33620