Reprinted from JOURNAL OF APPROXIMATION THEORY
All Rights Reserved by Academic Press, New York and London

Vol. 63, No. 2, November 1990
Printed in Belgium

On Nevai's Characterization of Measures with Almost Everywhere Positive Derivative

X. LI AND E. B. SAFF*

Institute for Constructive Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, University of South Florida, Tampa, Florida 33620

Communicated by Paul Nevai

Received December 1, 1989

It is known that if $d\mu$ is a finite positive Borel measure on the unit circle $\partial\Delta := \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$ with $\mu' > 0$ a.e. in $[0, 2\pi]$, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{|\varphi_n(z)|^2}{|\varphi_{n+l}(z)|^2} - \right| d\theta = 0, \qquad z = e^{i\theta} \tag{$*$}$$

uniformly in $l \geqslant 0$, where $\{\varphi_n(z)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is the set of orthonormal polynomials with respect to $d\mu$. Recently, Nevai proved that if $(*)$ holds uniformly in $l \geqslant 0$, then $\mu' > 0$ a.e. in $[0, 2\pi]$. In this note we establish another characterization of such measures in terms of Turán-type inequalities and we utilize it to give an alternative proof of Nevai's result.

Let $d\mu$ be a finite positive Borel measure on the unit circle $\partial\Delta := \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| = 1\}$ with its support an infinite set. Let $\varphi_n(z) = \varphi_n(d\mu, z) := \kappa_n z^n + \cdots \in \mathscr{P}_n$, $\kappa_n > 0$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, be the nth orthonormal polynomial with respect to $d\mu$; that is,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial\Delta} \varphi_m(z)\, \overline{\varphi_n(z)}\, d\mu = \delta_{m,n}, \qquad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.$$

Rahmanov proved (cf. [9, p. 106])

THEOREM A. *If* $\mu' > 0$ *a.e. in* $[0, 2\pi]$, *then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{z\varphi_n(z)}{\varphi_{n+1}(z)} \quad 1 \tag{1}$$

uniformly for $|z| \geqslant 1$

* Research supported, in part, by the National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-881-4026.

0021-9045/90 $3.00

In [5] (see also [8]), Máté *et al.* gave a much simpler proof of Theorem A. In doing so, they established (cf. [5, Theorem 3, p. 64])

THEOREM B. *If* $\mu' > 0$ *a.e. in* $[0, 2\pi]$, *then*

$$\lim_{l \to \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{|\varphi_n(z)|^2}{|\varphi_{n+l}(z)|^2} \qquad d\theta = 0, \qquad z = e^{i\theta}, \tag{2}$$

uniformly in $l \geqslant 0$.

On the one hand, Theorem A follows from Theorem B (cf. [5, Theorem 2, p. 64]). On the other hand, as noted in [5, Remark (a), p. 64], Theorem B follows from Theorem A except for the uniformity in l. In fact, recently Nevai (cf. [7, Theorem 1.1, p. 295]) proved that (2) implies $\mu' > 0$ a.e. in $[0, 2\pi]$. In this paper we establish another characterization of measures with positive derivative and we use it to give an alternative proof of the above mentioned result of Nevai.

In [4], the following inequality of Turán played an essential role.

THEOREM C (cf. [6, Corollary 7.5, p. 258]). *If* $\mu' > 0$ *a.e. in* $[0, 2\pi]$, *then for every* $\delta \in (0, 2\pi]$ *there is an* $\varepsilon > 0$ *such that*

$$\int_E |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu \geqslant \varepsilon \tag{3}$$

holds for every $n \geqslant 0$ *and every Borel set* $E \subset \partial\Delta$ *with Lebesgue measure* $|E| \geqslant \delta$.

We are concerned with the following question: Is $\mu' > 0$ a.e. in $[0, 2\pi]$ necessary for (3)? To answer this question, we prove the following refinement of Theorem C which characterizes measures with positive derivative in terms of Turán-type inequalities.

THEOREM 1. *Let* $d\mu$ *be a finite positive Borel measure on* $\partial\Delta$ *with infinite support. Then* $\mu' > 0$ *a.e. in* $[0, 2\pi]$ *if and only if for every* $\delta \in (0, 2\pi]$ *and* $\rho \in (0, 1)$, *there exists an integer* $N(\delta, \rho)$ *such that*

$$\int_E |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu \geqslant \rho\delta, \qquad n \geqslant N(\delta, \rho), \tag{4}$$

for all Borel sets $E \subset \partial\Delta$ *with* $|E| \geqslant \delta$.

Proof. Let us first assume that $\mu' > 0$ a.e. in $[0, 2\pi]$. Then by [6, Theorem 7.4, p. 257],

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_E |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu \quad |E|$$

uniformly for all Borel sets $E \subset \partial \Delta$. Thus, given $\delta \in (0, 2\pi]$ and $\rho \in (0,\ )$, we can find an integer $N(\delta, \rho)$ such that

$$\left| \int_E |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu - |E| \right| < (1-\rho)\delta, \qquad n \geqslant N(\delta, \rho),$$

uniformly for all Borel sets $E \subset \partial \Delta$. Hence, when $n \geqslant N(\delta, \rho)$,

$$\int_E |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu \geqslant |E| - \quad - \rho)\delta \geqslant \rho\delta$$

for all Borel sets $E \subset \partial \Delta$ with $|E| \geqslant \delta$, which establishes (4).

Now assume that (4) holds. From the Lebesgue decomposition (cf. [10, p. 21])

$$d\mu = \mu' \, d\theta + d\mu_s,$$

where $d\mu_s \perp d\theta$. Assume that $d\mu_s$ is concentrated on a Borel set A. Then

$$|A| = 0.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, take $\delta = 2\pi$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$ such that $2\pi - \rho\delta = 2\pi(1-\rho) < \varepsilon$. Then, for $n \geqslant N(2\pi, \rho)$, we get from (4) with $E = A^c$

$$\int_A |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu = \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu - \int_{A^c} |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu \leqslant 2\pi - \rho\delta < \varepsilon.$$

Note that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu_s = \int_A |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu_s = \int_A |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu,$$

and so

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu_s < \varepsilon,$$

when $n \geqslant N(2\pi, \rho)$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu_s = 0. \tag{5}$$

Now set

$$B := \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi] : \mu'(\theta) = 0\}$$

If $|B| =: \delta_0 > 0$, then for $n \geqslant N(\delta_0, \frac{1}{2})$, we have from (4)

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\delta_0 \leqslant \int_B |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu = \int_B |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu_s \leqslant \int_0^{2\pi} |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu_s,$$

which contradicts (5). Therefore $|B| = 0$, i.e., $\mu' > 0$ a.e. in $[0, 2\pi]$. ∎

Now we state and prove Nevai's result (cf. [7, Theorem 1.1, p. 295]).

THEOREM 2. *Let $d\mu$ be a finite positive Borel measure on $\partial\Delta$ with infinite support. Then $\mu' > 0$ a.e. in $[0, 2\pi]$ if and only if (2) holds uniformly in $l \geqslant 0$.*

In the proof of Theorem 2 we make use of the following lemma in [6, Lemma 4.2, p. 248]. It is a consequence of [2, Theorem 5.2.2, p. 198] (also see [1, formula (1.20), p. 7]).

LEMMA 3. *For any $f \in C(\partial\Delta)$,*

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{f(z)}{|\varphi_n(z)|^2} \, d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} f(z) \, d\mu, \qquad z \quad e^{i\theta}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. In view of Theorem B, we only need show that (2) implies $\mu' > 0$ a.e. in $[0, 2\pi]$. To do so, it suffices, from Theorem 1 to show that (2) implies (4).

Assume that (2) holds. Let $\delta \in (0, 2\pi]$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$ be given. We will proceed in three steps.

Step I. We first show that (4) holds uniformly for every set E which is a finite union of open intervals.

Assume that

$$E \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} (\alpha_i, \beta_i) \subset \partial\Delta, \qquad (\alpha_i, \beta_i) \cap (\alpha_j, \beta_j) \quad \varnothing, \qquad i \neq j, \tag{6}$$

and $|E| \geqslant \delta$.

It is easy to see that there exists an $f_{E,\rho} \in C(\partial\Delta)$ and $E_1 :$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} (\alpha_i', \beta_i') \subset E$ such that

$$\chi_{E_1}(z) \leqslant f_{E,\rho}(z) \leqslant \chi_E(z), \qquad z \in \partial\Delta$$

(where χ_K denotes the characteristic function of the set $K \subset \mathbf{C}$), and

$$|E_1| = \rho^{1/2} \, |E|$$

By (2), we can find an integer $M(\delta, \rho)$ such that, for $n \geqslant M(\delta, \rho)$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f_{E,\rho}(z) \left| \frac{|\varphi_n(z)|^2}{|\varphi_{n+l}(z)|^2} \quad 1 \right| d\theta \leqslant \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{|\varphi_n|^2}{|\varphi_{n+l}|^2} \quad 1 \right|$$
$$< (\rho^{1/2} - \rho)\delta$$

uniformly in $l \geqslant 0$ and E is of the form given in (6). Thus, for $n \geqslant M(\delta, \rho)$,

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f_{E,\rho} \frac{|\varphi_n|^2}{|\varphi_{n+l}|^2} d\theta \geqslant \int_0^{2\pi} f_{E,\rho}\, d\theta - (\rho^{1/2} - \rho)\delta$$
$$\geqslant \rho^{1/2} \int_0^{2\pi} f_{E,\rho}\, d\theta \geqslant \rho^{1/2} \int_{E'} d\theta = \rho\, |E|$$

uniformly in $l \geqslant 0$ and E of the form given in (6) with $|E| \geqslant \delta$. From Lemma 3, by letting $l \to \infty$, we get

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f_{E,\rho}\, |\varphi_n|^2\, d\mu \geqslant \rho\, |E|, \qquad n \geqslant M(\delta, \rho).$$

Hence,

$$\int_E |\varphi_n|^2\, d\mu \geqslant \int_0^{2\pi} f_{E,\rho}\, |\varphi_n|^2\, d\mu \geqslant \rho\, |E|, \qquad n \geqslant M(\delta, \rho),$$

uniformly for E of the form given in (6) with $|E| \geqslant \delta$.

Step II. Next we show that (4) holds uniformly for every open subset E of $\partial\Delta$ with $|E| \geqslant \delta$.

We can write such an open set as

$$E = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_i, \beta_i), \qquad (\alpha_i, \beta_i) \cap (\alpha_j, \beta_j) \quad \varnothing, \qquad i \neq j,$$

and

$$|E| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\beta_i - \alpha_i) \geqslant \delta.$$

Let m be so large that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\beta_i - \alpha_i) \geqslant \rho^{1/2}\delta$$

Then, when $n \geqslant M(\rho^{1/2}\delta, \rho^{1/2})$, where $M(\cdot,$ is given by Step I, we have

$$\int_E |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu \geqslant \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^m (\alpha_i, \beta_i)} |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu \geqslant \rho^{1/2} \cdot \rho^{1/2}\delta \quad \rho\delta$$

uniformly for any open set $E \subset \partial\Delta$ with $|E| \geqslant \delta$.

Step III. We complete the proof by showing that E can be any Borel set with $|E| \geqslant \delta$.

For such a set E we can find, by the outer-regularity of measure $|\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu$, an open set $\mathcal{O}_{n,E} \supset E$ such that

$$\rho^{-1/2} \int_E |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu \geqslant \int_{\mathcal{O}_{n,E}} |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu.$$

Therefore, by Step II and the inequality $|\mathcal{O}_{n,E}| \geqslant \delta$, we have

$$_E |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu \geqslant \rho^{1/2} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{n,E}} |\varphi_n|^2 \, d\mu \geqslant \rho^{1/2} \cdot \rho^{1/2}\delta \quad \rho\delta,$$

when $n \geqslant M(\rho^{1/4}\delta, \rho^{1/4})$. ∎

Finally, we remark that in [3], Lubinsky gave an explicit expression of a pure jump distribution (i.e., a discrete measure) $d\nu$ on $\partial\Delta$ such that (cf. [3, formula (2.22), p. 523])

$$\sum_{j=2 \cdot 3^{l-1}+1}^{2 \cdot 3^l} |\Phi_j(0)|^2 \leqslant C\left(\frac{\log l}{l}\right),$$

l large enough, where $\Phi_j(z) := (1/\kappa_j)\varphi_j(z)$ and $C > 0$ is a constant independent of l. Consequently,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |\Phi_n(0)| \quad 0,$$

which is equivalent to (1) (cf., e.g., [5, formula (7), p. 66]). Summarizing these remarks, we can state

PROPOSITION 4. *There exists a finite positive Borel measure that is a pure jump distribution but for which* (1) *holds.*

REFERENCES

1. G. FREUD, "Orthogonal Polynomials," Pergmon Press, New York, 1971.
2. YA. L. GEROMINUS, "Orthogonal Polynomials," Pergmon, New York, 1960.

3. D. S. LUBINSKY, Jump distributions on $[-1, 1]$ whose orthogonal polynomials have leading coefficients with given asymptotic behavior, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **104** (1988), 516–524.
4. X. LI, E. B. SAFF, AND Z. SHA, Behavior of best L_p polynomial approximants on the unit interval and on the unit circle, *J. Approx. Theory*, to appear.
5. A. MÁTÉ, P. NEVAI, AND V. TOTIK, Asymptotics for the ratio of leading coefficients of orthonormal polynomials on the unit circle, *Constr. Approx.* **1** (1985), 63–69.
6. A. MÁTÉ, P. NEVAI, AND V. TOTIK, Strong and weak convergence of orthogonal polynomials, *Amer. J. Math.* **109** (1987), 239–282.
7. P. NEVAI, Characterization of measures associated with orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **19** (1989), 293–302.
8. P. NEVAI, Weakly convergent sequences of functions and orthogonal polynomials, *J. Approx. Theory* **65** (1991), in press.
9. E. A. RAHMANOV, On the asymptotics of the ratio of orthogonal polynomials, II, *Math. USSR-Sb.* **46** (1983), 105–117.
10. W. RUDIN, "Real and Complex Analysis," 3rd ed., McGraw–Hill, New York, 1986.

Printed by Catherine Press, Ltd., Tempelhof 41, B-8000 Brugge, Belgium